

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Miscellaneous Jurisdiction Case No.1042 of 2023

In
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.218 of 2021

-
-
1. Vijay Shankar Prasad Son of Late Nand Kishore Prasad, resident of 719/3 North Mandiri, P.O. - Patna G.P.O., P.S. - Budha Colony, District- Patna.
 2. Mohan Prasad Ram, Son of Late Anand Lal Ram, Resident of Sinha Sadan, Muhalla - Sri Mukteshwar Prasad Road No. 1, New Yarpur, Gardanibagh, Patna.
 3. Ram Nandan Paswan Son of Late Rajdev Paswan, Resident of Mohalla - Murdpur, P.S. - Pirbahore, District - Patna.
 4. Mahesh Prasad, Son of Late Ram Prasad Ram, Resident of village and P.O. - Bakhtiyarpur, P.S. - Bakhtiyarpur, District - Patna.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through Sri Kumar Ravi Principal Secretary, Building Construction Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
2. Sri Rakesh Kumar the Chief Engineer (South) Building Construction Department, Government of Bihar, Vishahwwariya Bhawan, Bailey Road, Patna.
3. Sri Umesh Mandal, The Superintending Engineer Building Construction Department South Bihar Circle Punaichak, Patna.
4. Sri Abhay Kumar Singh, the Executive Engineer, Building Construction Department, Building Division Patna.
5. Sri Praveen Kumar Singh The Accountant General, Bihar, Patna.

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Sunil Kumar Karn, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s	:	Mr. Uday Shankar Sharan Singh, GP-19 Mrs. Shobha Choubey, Advocate
For the Accountant General	:	Mr. Ram Kinkar Choubey, Advocate

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE HARISH KUMAR
ORAL ORDER

3 13-10-2023 Heard Mr. Sunil Kumar Karn, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioners and Mrs. Shobha Choubey, learned counsel for the State. Mr. Ram Kinkar Choubey, learned counsel representing the Accountant General,



is also present.

2. At the outset, learned counsel for the State submits that in compliance of the order of this Court dated 03.11.2022 passed in C.W.J.C. No. 218 of 2021, a reasoned order has already been passed by the Principal Secretary, Building Construction Department, Government of Bihar. The same has already been brought on record by way of Annexure-A to the show cause.

3. Considering the afore-noted fact, there is no requirement of continuance of proceeding and, as such, the same stands disposed off.

4. It is needless to observe that if the petitioners are not satisfied with the order, they have the remedy to assail the same before the appropriate authority/ forum.

(Harish Kumar, J)

supratim/-

U			
---	--	--	--

