

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.6623 of 2023

=====

Amareshwar Shrivastava @ Amreshwar Prasad S/o Late Vrij Lal Shrivastav
Permanent Resident of Village Jagatauli PO Jagtauli, PS Bhore, District-
Gopalganj, Bihar.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through Chief Secretary, Govt. of Bihar Patna.
2. The Secretary, Rural Works Department, Patna.,
3. The District Officer, Gopalganj.
4. The District Collector, Gopalganj.
5. The Anchal Adhikari, Bhore, Gopalganj.
6. The Public Grievance Redressal Officer, Hathua
7. The Additional Collector (Public Grievance Redressal) CUM First Appellate Authority, Gopalganj.
8. The Second Appellate Authority CUM District Officer, Gopalganj.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr.Divyam Verma, Advocate
For the Respondent/s	:	Mr.Kameshwar Pd. Gupta (GP-10)
		Mr. Satya Vrat, AC to GP-10

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE MOHIT KUMAR SHAH
ORAL ORDER

2 17-10-2023 The present writ petition has been filed seeking the following reliefs:-

“1. a. For issuance of a writ in the nature of Mandamus commanding the Executive Engineer, Rural Works Department to get the encroachment removed from the village Jagatauli Main Road constructed by Rural Works Division, Gopalganj under Prime



Minister Rural Road Project after identifying the encroachment on the area of the road.

b. For a direction upon the Executive Engineer, Rural Works Division, Gopalganj to file an application before the Anchal Adhikari, Bhore to initiate a proceeding under section 3 of Bihar Public Land Encroachment Act, 1956 for the removal of encroachment upon Jagatauli Main Road.

c. For issuance of an appropriate writ and/or writs in the nature of Certiorari to quash the impugned order passed by Additional Collector, Public Complaint Grievances Redressal, Dist. Gopalganj CUM First Appellate Authority dated 17.12.2021 and order dated 31.03.2022 passed by the Second Appellate Authority-CUM-District Officer, Gopalganj."

2. At the outset, the learned counsel for the petitioner seeks not to press the present writ



petition, however, seeks liberty on behalf of the petitioner to avail such other alternative remedies as are otherwise available under the law, for redressal of the aforesaid grievances. Liberty, so sought, is granted.

3. The writ petition stands dismissed as not pressed.

(Mohit Kumar Shah, J)

S.Sb/-

U			
---	--	--	--

