

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.16245 of 2013

Smt. Sharda Devi @ Sharda Kumari Wife Of Satyendra Kumar Singh
Resident Of Village Panpura, P.S Dhanarua, District Patna The Then
Anganbadi Sevika Of Centre Panpura, Code No. 93, Child Development
Project Dhanarua, District Patna ... Petitioner

Versus

1. The State Of Bihar
2. The Director Social Welfare Department Govt. Of Bihar, Patna
3. The District Magistrate, Patna
4. The District Programme Officer, Patna
5. The Child Development Project Officer, Dhanarua, Patna ... Respondents

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Dudh Nath Singh, Adv.
For the Respondent/s : Mr.Kundan Bhadur Singh, SC XXII

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SANJEEV PRAKASH
SHARMA**

ORAL JUDGMENT

Date : 09-01-2023

1. In this writ petition grievance raised is relating to appointment of Anganwadi Worker.

2. In **CWJC No. 21963 of 2014 decided on 12.12.2022 (Reena Kumari Vs. State of Bihar & Ors.)** this Court has held that the post of Anganwadi Worker does not fall within the purview of State or Subordinate Services. The post of Anganwadi Worker is under a scheme introduced by Govt. of India and respective State Governments and regulated by guidelines which are non-statutory and therefore not enforceable in law. The appointment is on honorarium basis and no statutory procedure has been laid down.



3. Of course, under the guidelines, grievance against appointment of Anganwadi Worker can be raised before the Collector of concerned District with a further revision to the Commissioner.

4. Even the orders passed by the Collector or the Commissioner would not be a subject matter of judicial review as the power being exercised by them relating to a dispute of Anganwadi Worker is under guidelines and they do not act as a statutory authority while deciding such dispute. In view thereof, the writ petition would not be maintainable even against the orders of the Collector or the Commissioner.

5. Leaving it open to the respective writ petition to avail any of the aforesaid remedies, if they have not so availed, the writ petition is held to be not maintainable.

6. Accordingly, this writ petition is dismissed with the aforesaid liberty.

7. If an appeal/revision is filed, the same shall be decided expeditiously within a period of six months and the question of limitation will not arise.

Shamshad/-
Item No. 40

(Sanjeev Prakash Sharma, J)

AFR/NAFR	NAFR
CAV DATE	NA
Uploading Date	09.02.2023
Transmission Date	NA

