

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**  
**CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.40156 of 2017**

Arising Out of PS. Case No.- Year-1111 Thana- District-

Raj Balam Singh Son of Late Ishwar Singh, R/o Village- Sathi, P.S.-  
Uchkagaon, District- Gopalganj.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. State Of Bihar
2. Shyama Devi W/o Rajendra Chaudhary, R/o Village- Balesara Bhagwan Tola, P.S.- Uchkagaon, District- Gopalganj.
3. Ram Prasad Sah Son of Late Satan Sah, R/o Village- Shampur, P.S.- Uchkagaon, District- Gopalganj.

... .. Opposite Party/s

**Appearance :**

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Umesh Kumar Singh, Advocate  
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Khurshid Anwar, APP

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA**  
**ORAL ORDER**

2      19-10-2023                      1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned  
APP for the State.

2. The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is aggrieved by an order passed by the SDO whereby possession of the O.P. No. 2 over the land in question has been confirmed and the order of the SDO has been affirmed by the learned Additional Sessions Judge-VIII, Gopalganj in Criminal Revision No. 671 of 2015, it is next submitted that petitioner, aggrieved by the order of the SDO and the learned Sessions Judge, has preferred the present quashing application. The learned counsel submits that the land in question came to



the petitioner by way of a settlement made by *Hathua* Estate whereas the case of the O.P. No. 2 is that the land in question was received by them through Bhoodan.

3. The issue, thus, involved in the present case is disputed, as such, this Court is not inclined to entertain the quashing application.

**4. Accordingly, the present quashing application stands rejected.**

5. However, the petitioner would be at liberty to move before the appropriate forum seeking appropriate relief in accordance with law.

**(Satyavrat Verma, J)**

Rishabh/-

|   |  |   |  |
|---|--|---|--|
| U |  | T |  |
|---|--|---|--|

