

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.26212 of 2023

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-616 Year-2022 Thana- RAMNAGAR District- West Champaran

1. MINTA DEVI WIFE OF RAMU CHAUDHARY RESIDENT OF VILLAGE- MUJARA, PS- RAMNAGAR, DISTT- WEST CHAMPARAN
2. FULPATI DEVI WIFE OF SURENDRA CHAUDHARY RESIDENT OF VILLAGE- MUJARA, PS- RAMNAGAR, DISTT- WEST CHAMPARAN

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Arvind Kumar, Adv.
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Narsingh Tanti, APP.

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ANJANI KUMAR SHARAN
ORAL ORDER

3 21-07-2023 Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and learned
A.P.P. for the State.

2. The petitioners apprehend their arrest in a case registered for the offences punishable under Sections 341, 323, 326, 504, 506, 34 of the Indian Penal Code.

3. Allegedly, petitioners are said to have thrown fire upon the body of the informant's grand-daughter due to which she sustained burn injuries over her leg and stomach. On raising alarm, when the wife of the informant came to rescue, the petitioners abused and threatened her.

4. It is submitted by learned counsel for the petitioners that petitioners are quite innocent and have committed no offence. No such occurrence as alleged ever took place. Both



the parties are next door neighbours. Petitioners have been falsely implicated in this case due to ulterior motive. The allegation levelled against the petitioners is not specific rather general and omnibus in nature. There is admitted land dispute between the parties. Learned counsel for the petitioners further submits that the allegation levelled against the petitioners are totally false as the informant's grand-daughter fell down on the fire accidentally and due to which she sustained burn injuries. Petitioners have no criminal antecedent as mentioned in para-3 of this application.

5. Per contra, learned APP for the State vehemently opposing the bail petition submitted that the allegations levelled against the petitioners is serious in nature, hence they do not deserve anticipatory bail.

6. Considering the facts and circumstances of case, as there is serious allegation against the petitioners, I am not inclined to enlarge the petitioners on bail. The prayer for bail of the petitioners is hereby rejected.

(Anjani Kumar Sharan, J)

divyanshi/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

