

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.5174 of 2020

=====

Garima Devi Sikaria Wife of Rohit Sikaria R/o Ward No. 24, Lal Bazar, Near
Hajarimal Dharmashala, P.S. and District- Bettiah (West Champaran).

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. Nagar Parishad West Champaran at Bettiah through its Executive Officer,
Bettiah.
2. Executive Officer, Nagar Parishad, West Champaran at Bettiah.
3. The Vice- Chairman, Nagar Parishad, West Champaran.

... .. Respondent/s

with

Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No. 7607 of 2020

=====

Nira Kumari Daughter of Rajesh Prasad Resident of Ganj No.- 2, Near Ladu
Ram Gola, Bettiah, P.S.- Bettiah, District- West Champaran.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through Chief Secretary, Government of Bihar, Patna.
2. The Principal Secretary, Urban Development and Housing Department,
Government of Bihar, Patna.
3. The Secretary, Urban Development and Housing Department, Government
of Bihar, Patna.
4. The Collector, West Champaran, Bettiah.
5. The District Magistrate, West Champaran, Bettiah.
6. The Executive Officer, Bettiah Nagar Parishad, Bettiah, West Champaran.
7. Garima Devi Sikaria Wife of Rohit Sikaria Resident of 24, Lal Bazar, Near
Hajarimal Dharmshala, P.S.- Bettiah, District- West Champaran.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

(In Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No. 5174 of 2020)

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Brij Bihari Tiwary
For the Bettiah Nagar Parishad	:	Mr. Priyadarshi Matri Sharan
For the Intervenor	:	Mr. Jagjit Roshan, Advocate

(In Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No. 7607 of 2020)

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Jagjit Roshan
For the Bettiah Nagar Parishad	:	Mr. Priyadarshi Matri Sharan
For the State	:	Mr. Rajeev Kumar Sinha, AC to AAG 7

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE HARISH KUMAR
ORAL ORDER

2 26-09-2023 Mr. Jagjit Roshan, learned counsel who is



representing on behalf of the intervenor in C.W.J.C. No. 5174 of 2020 and learned counsel for the petitioner in CWJC No. 7620 of 2020 submits before this Court that by the efflux of time both the writ petitions have become infructuous.

2. In view of the submission made on behalf of the learned counsel for the parties, both the writ petitions stand dismissed having become infructuous.

3. Learned counsel for the State and other respondents are present.

(Harish Kumar, J)

Ranjeet/-

U			
---	--	--	--

