

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**  
**CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.25082 of 2023**

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-133 Year-2022 Thana- DUMARIAGHAT District- East  
Champaran

- 
1. GOVIND MANJHI SON OF LATE RAM ASHRAY MANJHI R/O VILL.- SARAIYA BADURAHA, P.S.- DUMARIAGHAT, DISTT.- EAST CHAMPARAN
  2. MANTU MANJHI SON OF GOVIND MANJHI R/O VILL.- SARAIYA BADURAHA, P.S.- DUMARIAGHAT, DISTT.- EAST CHAMPARAN
  3. JAYCHANDRA MANJHI SON OF GUJAR MANJHI R/O VILL.- SARAIYA BADURAHA, P.S.- DUMARIAGHAT, DISTT.- EAST CHAMPARAN
  4. SHOBHA DEVI WIFE OF BHULAN MANJHI R/O VILL.- SARAIYA BADURAHA, P.S.- DUMARIAGHAT, DISTT.- EAST CHAMPARAN

... .. Petitioners.

Versus

The State of Bihar.

... .. Opposite Party/s

---

**Appearance :**

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Pramod Kumar Pandey

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Rajendra Nath Jha

---

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ANJANI KUMAR SHARAN**  
**ORAL ORDER**

3      17-08-2023                      Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and learned  
A.P.P. for the State.

2. The petitioners apprehend their arrest in a case registered for the offences punishable under Sections 302/34 of the Indian Penal Code.

3. Petitioners are said to have killed the son of the informant by strangulating him and kept the dead body in the house and fled away.

4. It is submitted by learned counsel for the petitioners that no such occurrence as alleged ever took place.



Petitioners have been falsely implicated in this case due to ulterior motive. As a matter of fact, the deceased was habitual drunker and everyday dispute between the wife and husband was being taken place on account of drinking. The deceased went to Sasural and consumed huge quantity of local wine and died. The allegation levelled against the petitioners is not specific rather general and omnibus in nature. It is further submitted that petitioners are in-laws and family members of the deceased. It is further submitted that the postmortem report does not support the prosecution case. Petitioners have no criminal antecedent as mentioned in para-3 of this application.

5. Learned APP for the State opposed the prayer for bail.

6. Learned APP for the State opposed the prayer for bail.

7. Having regard to the facts and circumstances of the case, let the above named petitioners, be released on bail, in the event of their arrest or surrender before the learned Court below within a period of six weeks from today, on furnishing bail bond of Rs.25,000/- (Rupees Twenty Five Thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned lower Court where the case is pending/successor Court in



connection with Dumariyaghat P.S. Case No.133 of 2022,  
subject to the condition as laid down under Section 438 (2) of  
the Cr.P.C.

**(Anjani Kumar Sharan, J)**

Trivedi/-

|   |  |   |  |
|---|--|---|--|
| U |  | T |  |
|---|--|---|--|

