

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.6063 of 2023

=====

Nagendra Prasad Singh Son of Mangal Prasad Singh Resident of Village-
Rajputan Muhalla, Goah, P.O. and P.S.- Goah, District- Aurangabad.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Principal Secretary, Revenue and Land Reforms Department, Patna, Bihar.
2. The Principal Secretary, Urban Development Department, Patna, Bihar.
3. The District Magistrate, Aurangabad.
4. Deputy Development Commissioner, Aurangabad.
5. The Block Development Officer, Daudnagar, Aurangabad.
6. The Circle Officer, Goah, District- Aurangabad.
7. The Thana-in-charge, Goah, District- Aurangabad.
8. Mosmat Malti Kuer, Wife of Late Mangal Prasad Singh Resident of Village-
Rajputan Muhalla, Goah, P.O. and P.S.- Goah, District- Aurangabad.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Rajiv Ranjan Kr. Pandey
For the Respondent/s : Mr.Sajid Salim Khan (Sc25)

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE MOHIT KUMAR SHAH
ORAL ORDER

2 05-05-2023 The present writ petition has been filed seeking the
following reliefs:-

“1(A). That this application is being filed for
issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus
Commanding and directing the respondents
authority to remove the encroachment over the
Government land bearing Khata No-136, Plot
No-2469 total area measuring about 2 Decimal,
of village-Rajputan Muhalla, Goah, P.O.+P.S.-
Goah, District Aurangabad, the nature of the said
land is recorded in C.S. Khatian as "Gairmazarua



Aam Land" and the said land is being used by public in general, as 'Rasta'.

(B). Further directing the respondents, to remove the said encroachment by way of complying the various order passed by the competent authorities in different dates as they found encroachment over the land by the private respondent no-9 of this writ petition. And also comply the order dated 28.11.2007, passed by Hon'ble High Court in C.W.J.C. No- 14089/2007, with regard to the redressal of the grievance of the petitioner.”

After some arguments, the learned counsel for the petitioner seeks not to press the present writ petition, however, seeks liberty on behalf of the petitioner to avail such other alternative remedies as are otherwise available under the law. Liberty, so sought, is granted.

The writ petition stands dismissed as not pressed.

(Mohit Kumar Shah, J)

Ajay/-

U			
---	--	--	--

