

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.6671 of 2022

=====

Md. Imran Alam Son of Md. Irfan Alam Resident of Chotan Molavi Lane,
Karimaganj, P.S.-Civil Line, District-Gaya, Bihar.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Principal Secreary, Departemnt of Health,
Government of Bihar, Patna.
2. The Chairman, Bihar Staff Selection Commission, Veterinary Collage,
Patna-800014.
3. The Secrerary, Bihar Staff Selection Commission, Veterinary Collage, Patna-
800014.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr.Niraj Kumar, Advocate
For the State	:	Mr.Binod Kr. Yadav (SC-18)
For the BSSC	:	Mr. Harshvardhan Shivsundaram, Advocate

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE MOHIT KUMAR SHAH
ORAL ORDER

2 06-12-2023 The present writ petition has been filed
seeking the following relief:-

*“1. That this is an application for
issuance of appropriate writ,
especially in nature of Mandamus,
directing the respondent No.- 3 to
call upon the petitioner and conduct
his interview for selection and
appointment against vacant posts
of Lab Technician in light of vide
Advertisement No. 05010115, as
the petitioner could not appear on
the date of his interview scheduled
to be held on 19/08/2020 on*



account of having the peak period of Covid-19 pandemic and the petitioner stuck at New Delhi on that point of time and further it was not possible to move from there to appear in interview at Patna, within short period of notice for interview uploaded on website of the commission on 01/08/2020. A number of posts are still vacant so consideration of petitioner's case will not harm anyone, apart from aforesaid facts the petitioner case may be considered by the respondent No.-3 on sympathetic ground, taking into account of the difficulties in travelling during that period, risk factor of being infected with virus in travelling and to remain in quarantine for 14 days in Bihar which was mandatory on that point of time for all who came to Bihar from other states."

2. After some arguments, the learned counsel for the petitioner seeks not to press the present writ petition, however, seeks liberty on behalf of the petitioner to approach the respondent



authorities for redressal of his aforesaid grievances. Liberty, so sought, is granted.

3. Accordingly, the present writ petition stands dismissed.

(Mohit Kumar Shah, J)

S.Sb/-

U			
---	--	--	--

