

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.18626 of 2015

=====

Sunil Kumar Saxena son of Shri Chandradeep Manjhi resident of mohalla -
Ratanpura near Dharamnath Mandir, P.O. Police Station- Bhagwan Bazar,
District - Saran Chapra.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. The Secretary, Bihar State Power Holding Company Limited, Vidyut Bhawan, Bailey Road, Patna.
3. The Chairman, Bihar State Power Holding Company Limited, Vidyut Bhawan, Bailey Road, Patna.
4. The General Manager-cum-Chief Engineer, Tirhut Electric Supply Area, Muzaffarpur, South Bihar Power Supply Company Limited
5. The Secretary, Bihar State Electricity Board, Patna.
6. The Deputy Director of Personnel, Bihar State Electricity Board, Tirhut Electric Supply Area, Muzaffarpur
7. The Electric Superintending Engineer, Electric Supply Anchal, Saran Division, Chapra.
8. The Electric Executive Engineer, Electric Supply Division, Chapra, District Saran.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Umesh Kumar Mishra, Advocate
For the Respondent/s : Mr. Sarvesh Kr. Singh, AAG-13
Mrs. Sunita Kumari, AC to AAG-13
For the Power Holding Company:Mr. Anand Ojha, Advocate

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE MOHIT KUMAR SHAH
ORAL ORDER

2 06-10-2023 The present writ petition has been filed
seeking the following reliefs:-

“1. i) For issuance of an appropriate writ in the nature of Mandamus for commanding and directing the respondent authorities concerned to accept the joining of the petitioner as junior line man by extending his



contract as the contract of the petitioner has not been renewed after 31.12.2013 on flimsy ground.

ii) For issuance of an appropriate writ in the nature of Mandamus for commanding and directing the respondent authorities concerned to reinstate the petitioner on the respective post of junior line man as he has been not allowed to work without any rhyme or reason.

iii) For issuance of an appropriate writ in the nature of Mandamus for commanding and directing the respondent authorities concerned to pay the salary/honorarium of the petitioner since March, 2013 to till date as the petitioner has been continuously discharging his duty, but his salary has been stopped without any rhyme or reason.”

2. At the outset, the learned counsel for the petitioner seeks not to press the writ petition, hence, the writ petition stands dismissed as not pressed.

(Mohit Kumar Shah, J)

S.Sb/-

U			
---	--	--	--

