

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.17605 of 2015

-
-
1. Sanjay Kumar Son of Late Vinay Kumar Singh, resident of village and P.O. Gonawa, P.S.- Harnaut, District- Nalanda
 2. Ramasagar Mahato, son of Yuga Mahto, resident of village- Subhankarpur, P.O.- Patahi, P.S. Kanti, District- Muzaffarpur
 3. Ashok Kumar, son of Late Kedar Prasad Sahi, resident of village- Gorigawadih, P.O. Gorigawadih, P.S. Sarai, District- Muzaffarpur
 4. Shiv Shambhu Singh son of Ram Saran Singh, resident of village and P.O.- Dariyapur Koffeu, P.S.- Koshari, District- Muzaffarpur
 5. Shankar Sah, son of Late Rameshwar Sah, resident of village- Chhotaahak, P.O. Compant Bag, P.S. Tazi Mohammadpur, District- Muzaffarpur

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State Of Bihar through Principal Secretary, Urban Development Department, New Secretariat, Patna
2. The Chief Secretary, Government of Bihar
3. The Joint Secretary, General Administration Department, Government of Bihar
4. The District Magistrate, Muzaffarpur
5. The Municipal Commissioner, Muzaffarpur Regional Development Authority, Muzaffarpur
6. The Chairman, Muzaffarpur Regional Development Authority, Muzaffarpur

... .. Respondent/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr.Bipin Bihari Singh, Adv.
For the Respondent/s	:	Mr. Sarvesh Kumar Singh, AAG-13 Nrs, Sunita Kumari, AC to AAG-13

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE MOHIT KUMAR SHAH
ORAL ORDER

2 21-09-2023 The present writ petition has been filed seeking the following reliefs:-

“1(i). That the present writ application is being preferred for issuance of an appropriate writ/ writs, orders/ orders for commanding and directing the respondent authorities with respect to the payment of arrear salary/wages as well as the current to the



petitioners due since 26-06-2007 to till date for which he is denied unreasonably and maliciously by ignoring the facts and circumstances that the petitioner was duly appointed by the order dated 11-01-2007 passed by the learned Labour Court, Muzaffarpur in Case No. Ref. 02/98, under which learned court made direction to respondent authority to reinstate the service of petitioners.

(ii). An appropriate writ/ writs, orders/ orders for commanding and directing the respondents authorities to make regularization of petitioners service in light of resolution passed by the State Government in its own official gazette dated 25.4.2006 and for the other necessary relief / reliefs on the basis of the fact and circumstance hereinabove mentioned in the present writ application.”

2. After some arguments, the learned counsel for the petitioners seeks not to press the present writ petition, however, seeks liberty on behalf of the petitioners to avail such other alternative remedies as are otherwise available under the law. Liberty, so sought, is granted.

3. The writ petition stands dismissed as not pressed.

(Mohit Kumar Shah, J)

Ajay/-

U			
---	--	--	--

