

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.24116 of 2023

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-598 Year-2022 Thana- GOVERNMENT OFFICIAL COMP.
District- Nawada

=====

SANTOSH KUMAR @ SANTOSH YADAV Son of Vijay Yadav R/V-
Bajwatari, PS- Rajauli Dist- Nawada

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Deepak Kumar, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s : Mrs.Pushpa Sinha.1, APP

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SUDHIR SINGH
ORAL ORDER

2 19-05-2023 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned APP
for the State.

The petitioner is apprehending his arrest in a case registered under Section 30(a) of the Bihar Prohibition and Excise Act, 2016.

The prosecution case, in short, is that 25.750 liters wine is recovered.

It has been submitted on behalf of the petitioner that there is no allegation of tampering of witnesses alleged against the petitioner. It is alleged that 25.750 liters wine is recovered from the possession of co-accused. The name of the petitioner has transpired on the basis of disclosure made by the co-accused. Except for this, there is no other substantive evidence to suggest the implication of the petitioner in this case. Nothing incriminating has been recovered



from the conscious possession of the petitioner. The petitioner had no knowledge regarding the alleged incident. There is no compliance of Section 100 Cr.P.C. Learned counsel for the petitioner has placed reliance upon the order dated 13.04.2022 passed by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in Criminal Appeal No. 626 of 2022 (Sweta Kumari versus State of Bihar).

On behalf of the State, it is submitted that the petitioner is named in the F.I.R./complaint case.

Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances, let the petitioner, above named, in the event of arrest/surrender before the learned court below within a period of twelve weeks from today, be released on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs.10,000/- (Ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the Court below/concerned Court in connection with Excise P.S. case No. 598/22, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 438(2) of the Code of Criminal Procedure.

(Sudhir Singh, J)

Pankaj/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

