

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.24742 of 2022

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-40 Year-2021 Thana- NARDIGANJ District- Nawada

DEEPAK RAMANI @ RAVINDRA RAMANI S/o Late Dhupan Ramani R/o
Village- Paraiya, P.S.- Paraiya, Dist- Gaya.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Abhishek, Adv.

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Murli Dhar, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE MOHIT KUMAR SHAH
ORAL ORDER

3 19-01-2023 Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner
and the learned APP for the State.

The petitioner seeks regular bail in connection with S. Tr. No. 333 of 2021, arising out of Nardiganj P.S. Case No. 40 of 2021, registered for the offence punishable under Section 395 of the Indian Penal Code.

The allegation is regarding six unknown miscreants having looted the Bank in question and had decamped with a sum of Rs. 14,42,170/-.

The learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner is innocent, he has been falsely implicated in the present case and he is languishing in custody since 20.03.2021. It is submitted that though the petitioner is an



accused in seven other cases, but he is on bail in all the said seven cases. It is further submitted that all the other co-accused persons have been granted bail by coordinate Benches of this Court, vide orders dated 12.5.2022, 5.5.2022 and 18.4.2022, passed in Criminal Miscellaneous No. 71847 of 2021, Criminal Miscellaneous No. 12351 of 2022, Criminal Miscellaneous No. 70719 of 2021, Criminal Miscellaneous No. 70329 of 2021, Criminal Miscellaneous No. 58546 of 2021, Criminal Miscellaneous No. 54904 of 2021, Criminal Miscellaneous No. 51870 of 2021, Criminal Miscellaneous No. 51171 of 2021 and Criminal Miscellaneous No. 47516 of 2021. Lastly, it is submitted that neither any Test Identification Parade has taken place so as to connect the petitioner with the alleged crime nor any recovery of the looted cash amount has been made from the possession of the petitioner.

Per contra, the learned APP for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for bail.



Having regard to the facts and circumstances of the case, considering the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioner and taking into account the materials on record as also considering the parity of the case of the petitioner with that of the co-accused persons, who have already been granted bail by coordinate Benches of this Court, I deem it fit and proper to admit the petitioner to the privilege of bail, subject to verification of his antecedents by the learned court below.

Accordingly, the above named petitioner is directed to be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs. 10,000/- (rupees ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned 1st Additional District & Sessions Judge, Nawada in connection with S. Tr. No. 333 of 2021, arising out of Nardiganj P.S. Case No. 40 of 2021.

(Mohit Kumar Shah, J)

Ajay/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

