

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.24240 of 2023

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-383 Year-2022 Thana- RAJNAGAR District- Madhubani

SANTOSH @ SANTOSH SINGH S/O RAJ NANDAN SINGH Resident of
Village- Rampatti, P.S.- Rajnagar, District- Madhubani.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Ratanakar Jha, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Bishweshwar Ram, A.P.P.

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

2 22-05-2023 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
A.P.P. for the State.

The petitioner apprehends his arrest in a case registered for the offences punishable under Sections 30(a), 41(1) of the Bihar Excise Act and Sections 272, 273 and 34 of the Indian Penal Code.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has antecedent of three cases and allegation is of recovery of 3770.94 liters of liquor recovered from a truck and other vehicles as detailed in the FIR.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner was not apprehended from the spot as such nothing was recovered from his conscious possession, it is next submitted that petitioner is not the owner of any of the seized vehicles and he came to be implicated because of his



antecedents.

Learned A.P.P. for the State opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner.

Considering the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioner, the petitioner above-named, in the event of his arrest or surrender before the learned trial court within a period of six weeks from today, be released on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs. 15,000/- (Rupees Fifteen Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount to the satisfaction of the learned trial court where the case is pending/successor court in connection with Rajnagar P.S. Case No. 383 of 2022 subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 438 (2) of the Cr.P.C.

However, the learned Trial Court before accepting the bail bonds of the petitioner shall verify whether petitioner is the owner of any of the seized vehicles or not and in the event, if it is found that petitioner is the owner of any of the seized vehicles then the present anticipatory bail order shall not be given effect to.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

GauravSinha/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

