

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.16661 of 2015

=====

Mamta Kumari wife of Sri Kanchal Rajak resident of Village - Bishanpur
Malahtoli, P.S. - Samastipur Muffasil, District - Samastipur.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar.
2. The District Magistrate, Samastipur.
3. The District Education Officer, Samastipur.
4. The District Programme Officer, Samastipur.
5. The District Programme Officer, Literacy (Saksarta), Samastipur.
6. The Block Development Officer, Samastipur.
7. The Block Education Officer, Samastipur.
8. The Head Master, Upgraded Middle School, Dariyapur, Malahtoli, District - Samastipur.
9. Sujata Kumari wife of Sri Ranjeet Ram resident of Village - Bishanpur Malahtoli, P.S. - Samastipur Muffasil, District - Samastipur.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Manish Kumar No 13, Advocate
For the Respondent/s : Mr.Raghwanand, GA-11
Mr.Sanjay Kr. Tiwary, AC to GA-11

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE MOHIT KUMAR SHAH
ORAL ORDER

2 14-09-2023 1. The present writ petition has been filed seeking the
following relief(s):-

*“1.(A) A writ of Mandamus commanding the
Respondent authorities for giving effect to the
directions contained under letter No. 3365
dated 23/12/2014 issued by respondent Block
Development Officer, Samastipur, as contained
in Annexure - 4 after proper inquiry of the
matter, on the complain of petitioner, whereby
and where under it was found that the selection*



of Tola Sevak was not made as per law and further also giving an effect to the report of Block Education Officer, Samastipur, which was sent to the District Programme Officer, Literacy (Saksarta), Samastipur, vide Letter No.- 219 dated 14/03/2015, as contained in Annexure - 6.

(B) A writ of Certiorari setting aside the appointment of Respondent No. 9, who was illegally appointed on the post of Tola Sevak by the respondent authorities.

(C) A writ of Mandamus directing the Respondent authorities to appoint the petitioner on the post of Tola Sevak, for which she was entitled Ab initio but she was illegally deprived.”

2. Considering the nature of relief, sought for in the present writ petition, I deem it fit and proper to grant liberty to the petitioner to approach the respondent-authorities for redressal of her aforesaid grievances.

3. Accordingly, the present writ petition stands disposed off.

(Mohit Kumar Shah, J)

Saurav/-

U			
---	--	--	--

