

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.5786 of 2023

=====

LKMD Foundation Trust, Care of Ashok Kumar, F-145, P.C.- Colony, Kankarbagh through its Founder Trustee and Authorized Signatory Ashok Kumar, aged about 60 years, (Male), S/o Late Lalan Kumar Singh, Residing at F-145, Peoples Cooperative Colony, Kankarbagh, Sampatchak, P.S.- Lohia Nagar, District- Patna.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. Principal Secretary, Urban Development and Housing Department, Govt. of Bihar, Patna.
2. The State of through Secretary, Social Welfare Department, Annex Building-4 of Bihar, Patna.
3. The Director, Social Security and Disability and Social Welfare Department, Govt. of Bihar.
4. The Bihar State Housing Board, through its Chairman, 6 Sardar Patel Marg, Patna- 800015
5. The Chairman, Bihar State Housing Board, 6 Sardar Patel Marg, Patna-800015
6. The Managing Director, Bihar State Housing Board, 6 Sardar Patel Marg, Patna- 800015.
7. Estate Officer, Bihar State Housing Board, 6 Sardar Patel Marg, Patna-800015.
8. Revenue Officer, Bihar State Housing Board, 6 Sardar Patel Marg, Patna.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr.Vikas Kumar, Adv. Mr. Sanjiv Kumar, Adv.
For the State	:	Mr.Subhash Prasad Singh, GA-3
For the BSHB	:	Mr. Pawan Kumar, Adv. Ms. Pratibha, Adv.

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE MOHIT KUMAR SHAH
ORAL ORDER

2 05-09-2023 The present writ petition has been filed seeking the following reliefs:-

“1(i). For quashing of letter No.1045 dated 24.02.2023 issued by Housing Board whereby and whereunder they have refused to allot Plot No.



F/415 and F-416 situated at Lohiya Nagar Patna to Petitioner on the ground that there is no provision for direct allotment of Plot under Bihar State Housing Board (Management and disposal of Housing Estates) Regulation, 1983.

(ii). For direction to the Respondent to allot Housing Board's Plot No. F/415 and F-416 situated at Lohiya Nagar Patna, to the petitioner for running old age Home and others social welfare purposes.”

2. After some arguments, the learned counsel for the petitioner seeks not to press the present writ petition, however, seeks liberty on behalf of the petitioner to avail such other alternative remedies as are otherwise available under the law. Liberty, so sought, is granted.

3. The writ petition stands dismissed as not pressed.

(Mohit Kumar Shah, J)

Ajay/-

U			
---	--	--	--

