

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.27386 of 2023

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-13 Year-2021 Thana- BARHAT District- Jamui

=====

Bajrangi Kora @ Samar Kora S/o Puna Kora Resident of Village- Chormara,
P.S.- Barhat, District- Jamui.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Pankaj Kumar Sinha, Advocate.

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Surendra Prasad Singh, APP.

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PURNENDU SINGH
ORAL ORDER

2 26-04-2023 Heard Mr. Pankaj Kumar Sinha, learned counsel
appearing on behalf of the petitioner and Mr. Surendra Prasad
Singh, learned A.P.P. for the State.

2. The petitioner seeks regular bail in connection with
Barhat P.S. Case No. 13 of 2021 (G.R. No. 170/2021) for the
offence punishable under Sections 147, 148, 121, 121(A) and
120(B)/149 of the Indian Penal Code, Sections 25(1-B)a, 26 and
35 of the Arms Act, Sections 16, 17, 18, 19 and 20 of the
Unlawful Activities (Prevention) Act and Sections 4 and 5 of the
Explosive Substance Act.

3. Prosecution story, in brief, is that on secret
information, a raid was conducted by the police in Chormora
forest and one co-accused Prakash Rana was apprehended by
the police who disclosed the name of the petitioner as his
accomplice. From the forest area, arms, ammunition, explosive
substances and other incriminating materials were recovered.



Petitioner is said to be member of Naxal organization which is a banned organization.

4. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner informs this Court that the petitioner was released on bail vide order dated 22.08.2022 passed in Cr. Misc. No. 64673 of 2021, however the petitioner could not be released as the criminal antecedent as mentioned in Para-3 of the said bail application was found to be incorrect and bail bond of the petitioner was not accepted by the court below. The petitioner, thereafter, again moved before the court below for grant of regular bail and the same was also rejected vide order dated 14.06.2021. The petitioner has again come before this Court for regular bail.

5. Considering the fact that this Court had already exercised its mind and granted bail to the petitioner and for the reason that only one criminal antecedent could not be stated in Para-3 of the said bail application, bail bond was not accepted, petitioner, above named, is directed to be enlarged on bail on similar terms and conditions as contained in order dated 22.08.2022.

6. Accordingly, the bail application stands disposed of.

(Purnendu Singh, J)

mantreshwar/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

