

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.22509 of 2023

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-286 Year-2022 Thana- RANIGANJ District- Araria

Shankar Ram @ Shankar Kumar Ram Son Of Late Jhamoli Ram @ Kaneli
Ram R/O Jagta Khursali, P.S.- Raniganj, District- Araria

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State Of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Ranjeet Choubey
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Anant Kumar 1

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SUNIL KUMAR PANWAR
ORAL ORDER

4 04-10-2023 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner, learned APP
for the State and learned counsel for the informant.

The petitioner has prayed for bail in connection with
Raniganj P.S. Case No. 286 of 2022 instituted for the offence
under Sections 328, 304(B) and 34 of the Indian Penal Code.

It is case of dowry death of the informant's sister due
to non-fulfillment of dowry demand.

It is submitted by learned counsel for the petitioner
that petitioner has been falsely implicated in this present case.
The petitioner has neither demanded any dowry demand nor
committed any cruelty with the deceased. It is further submitted
that during investigation, charge sheet has been submitted under
Sections 306 and 34 of the IPC not under Section 304B of the
IPC. A statement has been made in para-3 of this petition that



the petitioner has got no criminal antecedent. Moreover, he is languishing in judicial custody since 15.12.2022.

Learned APP appearing for the state and learned counsel for the informant have opposed the prayer of regular bail and submitted that the petitioner is the husband of the deceased and he had full responsibility to keep his wife with dignity and honour which he did not do so. During investigation, witness supported the prosecution case and also from perusal of the FSL Report, Aluminium Phosphide commercially known as CELPHOS has been detected in the body of the deceased.

Having heard the learned counsel for the parties and considering the nature of allegation, this court is not inclined to enlarge the petitioner on bail and, as such, his prayer for bail stands rejected.

The trial court is directed to expedite the trial and conclude the same within six months, failing which, the petitioner will be at liberty to renew his prayer for bail application.

(Sunil Kumar Panwar, J)

shubham/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

