

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.27115 of 2023

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-157 Year-2022 Thana- RANIYATALAB District- Patna

RAVIRANJAN KUMAR Son of Santosh Kumar @ Sri Bablu Singh @
Santosh Singh R/o Village - Sikariya, P.S.- Bihta, District - Patna.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Madhukar Anand, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Surendra Prasad Singh, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

2 20-07-2023 1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and
learned A.P.P. for the State.

2. The petitioner apprehends his arrest in a case
registered for the offences punishable under Sections 147, 341,
323, 307, 379, 504 and 506 of the Indian Penal Code and under
Section 27 of the Arms Act.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the
petitioner is a person with clean antecedent and the informant
alleges that seven named accused persons including the
petitioner along with 8-10 unknown miscreants came on
motorcycle and started abusing the informant and on protest, it
is alleged that the named accused persons assaulted him, it is
next alleged that as far as this petitioner is concerned, he is
alleged to have fired at the father of the informant but missed.



4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in the present case, it is next submitted that no specific overt act of assault is alleged against the petitioner though the informant very cleverly has implicated him by alleging that the petitioner fired upon his father but missed. It is further submitted that even presuming what has been alleged is true without admitting, then no one was injured in the firing. It is also submitted that if the petitioner had any intention of committing such an occurrence, then he would have fired at the informant and his father also. It is next submitted that there is admitted land dispute between the parties for which the present false case came to be instituted and the injury suffered by the informant is simple in nature, but then the petitioner is not alleged to have assaulted.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner.

6. Considering the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioner, the petitioner above-named, in the event of his arrest or surrender before the learned trial court within a period of six weeks from today, be released on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount



each to the satisfaction of the learned trial court where the case is pending/successor court in connection with Ranitalab P.S. Case No. 157 of 2022 subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 438 (2) of the Cr.P.C.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

Rishabh/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

