

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.5526 of 2023

Shiv Shankar Sah Son of Bucchi Sah, Resident of Village-Rampur Raut, P.O.
and P.S.-Kusheshwar Asthan, District-Darbhanga.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Principal Secretary, Department of Revenue and Land Reforms, Old Secretariat, Patna.
2. The District Magistrate, Darbhanga.
3. The Senior Superintendent of Police, Darbhanga.
4. The Sub-Divisional Officer, Biraul.
5. Bihar State Board of Religious Trust, Vidyapati Marg, Patna through its Superintendent.
6. The Superintendent, Bihar State Board of Religious Trust, Vidyapati Marg, Patna.

... .. Respondent/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr.Bipin Bihari Singh, Advocate
For the Respondent/s	:	Mr.Ganpati Trivedi, Sr.Advocate
		Ms.Shyamli Kumari, Advocate
		Ms.K.Shama Singh, Advocate

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE MOHIT KUMAR SHAH
ORAL ORDER

2 16-10-2023 1. The present writ petition has been filed seeking the
following relief(s):-

“1. That, the present writ petition is for issuance of an appropriate writ/writs in nature of MANDAMUS for commanding and directing the respondents especially district administration, i.e., District Magistrate, Darbhanga, Senior Superintendent of Police, Darbhanga and Sub-Divisional Officer, Biraul to provide protection to his life, personal liberty including property, which are the Constitutional rights granted under



Article 21 and Article 300(A) of the Constitution of India considering the right, title and possession upon Khata No. 990, Khesra No. 1499 Area 2 Dhur where his footwear shop was running after the settlement of aforesaid land and payment of rent to the Bihar State Board of Religious Trust but it was forcibly captured and disturbed by unsocial elements on point of muscle, man power and money ignoring title and possession upon the land in question as they were adamant to dispossesses without following procedure established by the law and for any other relief/reliefs for which the petitioner is found to be entitled under the provision of law involved in the present case.”

2. At the outset, the learned counsel for the petitioner seeks not to press the present writ petition, however, seeks liberty on behalf of the petitioner to avail such other alternative remedies as are otherwise available under the law, for redressal of his aforesaid grievances. Liberty so sought is granted.

3. The writ petition stands dismissed as not pressed.

(Mohit Kumar Shah, J)

Saurav/-

U			
---	--	--	--

