

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.5684 of 2023

Nitish Kumar, Son of Late Shankar Mahto, Resident of Village Sisauni, P.O.-
Mokama Ghat, P.S.- Mokama, District- Patna- 803303.

... .. Petitioner

Versus

1. The Union of India through its Cabinet Secretary, Home Ministry, Government of India, North Block, Cabinet Secretariat, Raisina Hill, New Delhi.
2. The Director General of Central Reserve Police Force, Head Office, CGO Complex, New Delhi.
3. The Inspector General of Central Reserve Police Force, Central Sector, Vibhutikhand, Gomati Nagar, Lakhnow, Uttar Pradesh.
4. The Deputy Inspector General of Central Reserve Police (Administration), Group Center, C.R.P.F., Amethi, Uttar Pradesh.
5. The Commandant, 63 Battalion, C.R.P.F. Navin Mandi, Faizabad, Uttar Pradesh.

... .. Respondents

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Gyanendra Kumar Singh, Advocate
For the Respondent/s : Mr.

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJEEV RANJAN PRASAD
ORAL ORDER

2 10-05-2023 Heard Mr. Gyanendra Kumar Singh, learned counsel
for the petitioner.

No one appears for the respondents.

The petitioner in the present case is seeking a writ in
the nature of Writ of Mandamus directing the respondents to
consider the case of the petitioner for appointment on
compassionate ground.

It is the case of the petitioner that his father Shankar
Mahto was working as a Constable/Jalvahak bearing Force No.
913188219 in the 63 Battalion, Central Reserve Police Force,



Faizabad, Uttar Pradesh, he died in harness on 12.06.2016 whereafter the petitioner has filed an application in the year 2018 to the office of the respondents seeking appointment on compassionate ground.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has drawn the attention of this Court towards the communication as contained in Annexures '2', '3' and '4' to the writ application from which it appears that the application submitted by the petitioner has been forwarded to the competent authority for further action.

In the given facts and circumstances of the case without entering into the merit of the claim of the petitioner, this Court directs the competent authority to look into the grievance of the petitioner, examine the same and take appropriate decision within a period of four months from the date of receipt/production of a copy of this order.

This writ application stands disposed of accordingly.

(Rajeev Ranjan Prasad, J)

SUSHMA2/-

U			
---	--	--	--

