

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.18642 of 2015

=====

Sushil Kumar Singh Son of Shri Basudev Singh resident of Mohalla -
Koirpurva, Police Station Model Thana, District - Buxar

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State Of Bihar
2. The District Magistrate, Buxar, Bihar
3. The Deputy Development Commissioner, Buxar, Bihar
4. The District Education officer, Buxar, Bihar
5. The Bihar Education Project Council, Shiksha Bhawan, Rashtrabhasha Parishad Campus, Saidpur, Rajendra Nagar, Patna-800004
6. The State Project Director, The Bihar Education Project Council, Shiksha Bhawan, Rashtrabhasha Parishad Campus, Saidpur, Rajendra Nagar, Patna-800004
7. The State Programme Officer, The Bihar Education Project Council, Shiksha Bhawan, Rashtrabhasha Parishad Campus, Saidpur, Rajendra Nagar, Patna-800004
8. The Bihar Education Project - Buxar, Sarva Shiksha Abhiyan Office, Bal Vigyan Museum, Station Road, Buxar-802101
9. The District Programme Officer, The Bihar Education Project - Buxar, Sarva Shiksha Abhiyan Office, Bal Vigyan Museum, Station Road, Buxar-802101

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr.Parijat Saurav, Adv.
For the Respondent/s	:	Mr.Ajay, GA-12
		Mr. Akash Raj, AC to GA-5
For the BEPC	:	Mr. Girish Kumar, Adv.
		Mr. Sanjiv Kumar, Adv.

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE MOHIT KUMAR SHAH
ORAL ORDER

2 22-09-2023 The present writ petition has been filed seeking the following relief:-

“1(i). For issuance of writ in the nature of mandamus or any other appropriate writ, order or direction to the respondents to make payment of the arrears of monthly honorarium/ salary



and dearness allowance after computing the monthly honorarium/ salary and dearness allowance of the petitioner in accordance with the decisions of the respondents contained in letter no. 3370 dated 25.04.2008, letter no. 4653 dated 26.08.2008, letter no. 296 dated 11.01.2010, letter no. 083 dated 07.01.2013 and letter no. 4294 dated 21.06.2013 which have the force of law, along with interest for the delayed payment.”

2. After some arguments, the learned counsel for the petitioner seeks not to press the present writ petition, however, seeks liberty on behalf of the petitioner to avail such other alternative remedies as are otherwise available under the law. Liberty, so sought, is granted.
3. The writ petition stands dismissed as not pressed.

(Mohit Kumar Shah, J)

Ajay/-

U			
---	--	--	--

