

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.15111 of 2015

Radha Pandey Son of Late Baleshwar Pandey resident of Village - Dariyapur,
P.S. Parsa Bazar, District-Patna.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. The Principal Secretary, Water Resources Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
3. The Commissioner-cum-Secretary, Water Resources Deptt. Government of Bihar, Patna.
4. The Deputy Secretary, Command Area Development Directorate, Water Resources Department, Govt. of Bi
5. The Gandak Command Area Development Agency, Muzaffarpur through its Secretary.
6. The Area Development Commissioner-cum-Chairman, Gandak Command Area Development Agency, Muzaffarpur
7. The Secretary, Gandak Command Area Development Agency, Muzaffarpur.
8. Pankaj Kishore Son of Late Nageshwar Prasad resident of Village - Bishunpur Patti, P.S. Sahebganj, District Muzaffarpur through the Secretary, Gandak Command Area Development Agency, Muzaffarpur.

... .. Respondent/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Ram Krishna Singh, Advocate
For the Respondent/s	:	Mr. Jitendra Kumar Roy, SC-13
For G.A.D.A.	:	Mr. Satish Chandra Jha, Advocate

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE MOHIT KUMAR SHAH
ORAL ORDER

2 01-09-2023 1. The present writ petition has been filed
seeking the following relief:-

*“1. That this is an application for
issuance of an appropriate writ, order
and/or direction commanding and
directing the Respondents-authorities
concerned to declare the
appointment of Respondent No.8,*



Pankaj Kishore made on compassionate ground to the Post of Clerk (Correspondence) vide order No.280 dated 04.02.1989 of Gandak Command Area Development Agency, Muzaffarpur, as illegal and void and quash the same and further for directing Respondents Authorities concerned to terminate the services of Respondent No.8 with immediate effect and stop payment of his salary and for grant of other relief/reliefs to the petitioner in the facts and circumstances of the case, as may deem fit and proper.”

2. After some arguments, the learned counsel for the petitioner seeks to withdraw the present writ petition, to which the learned counsel for the respondents have got no objection.
3. Accordingly, the present writ petition stands dismissed as not pressed.

(Mohit Kumar Shah, J)

S.Sb/-

U			
---	--	--	--

