

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.6573 of 2023

=====

Rajendra Pal Son of Late Gupteshwar Pal, resident of Village - Dhawani, P.O. Dhawani, Anchal and P.S. - Karakat, District - Rohtas at Sasaram.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Additional Chief Secretary-cum-Principal Secretary, Revenue and Land Reforms Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
2. The District Magistrate-cum-Collector, Rohtas at Sasaram.
3. The Joint Director, Consolidation (HQ), Bihar, Patna.
4. The Deputy Consolidation Director, Bihar, Patna.
5. The Consolidation Officer, Karakat Anchal, District - Rohtas.
6. The Assistant Consolidation Officer, Karakat Anchal, District Rohtas at Sasaram.
7. The Circle Officer, Karakat Anchal, District - Rohtas.
8. Ajay Kumar Singh, Son of Late Rama Singh, Resident of Village- Dhawani, P.O. Dhawani, Anchal and P.S.Karakat, District - Rohtas.
9. Uma Shankar Singh, Son of Late Rama Singh, Resident of Village- Dhawani, P.O. Dhawani, Anchal and P.S. Karakat, District - Rohtas.
10. Praveen Kumar Singh, son of Late Suchit Singh, Resident of Village- Dhawani, P.O. Dhawani, Anchal and P.S.Karakat, District - Rohtas.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Ram Niwas Prasad, Adv.
For the Respondent/s : Mr. Sajid Salim Khan, (SC-25)

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE DR. ANSHUMAN
ORAL JUDGMENT

Date : 11-09-2023

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and
learned counsel for the State.

2. The present writ application has been filed
against the order dated 16.02.2023 in B.L.T. Case No. 111 of
2022 passed by the Bihar Land Tribunal, Patna.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that

the order passed by Bihar Land Tribunal, Patna is absolutely bad in law as setting aside the order passed by the Joint Director, Consolidation (HQ), Patna in Consolidation Revision Case No. 108 of 2019 is absolutely in accordance with law and fit to be sustained.

4. Learned counsel for the State submits that only one point is involved in the present case *i.e.* the revision application was filed after a long gap of 32 years by the opposite party no. 5. The matter was settled between the parties, as the ancestors of the present petitioner have acted upon and settled their matter but after a long gap of 32 years, the revision application was challenged and only on this ground alone, the Bihar Land Tribunal, Patna has interfered.

5. Upon going through the case, this Court is of the view that the finding of the Bihar Land Tribunal, Patna is absolutely in accordance with law that anything which is inserted in registered *wajidawa* has been requested by the parties to be acted upon and the matter was completely settled 32 years back, then it shall not be proper for the Joint Director, Consolidation (HQ), Patna to interfere and create a long litigation between the parties once again and, therefore, the Bihar Land Tribunal, Patna has set aside the order passed by the

Joint Director, Consolidation (HQ), Patna.

6. This Court finds that there is no illegality in the order and, therefore, the present writ application is hereby dismissed.

(Dr. Anshuman, J.)

sadique/-

AFR/NAFR	NAFR
CAV DATE	NA
Uploading Date	14.09.2023
Transmission Date	NA