

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.8559 of 2017

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-11 Year-2014 Thana- MAHILA PS District- Jamui

Divyendu Saurav and Ors

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

State Of Bihar and Anr

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Sarvan Kumar, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s	:	Mr. Chandra Bhushan Prasad, APP
For the Complainant	:	Mr. Abhinav Kumar, Advocate

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

- 2 21-09-2023 1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioners, learned counsel for the complainant and learned A.P.P. for the State.
2. On query of the Court from the learned counsel for the petitioner with regard to the stage of the case, the learned counsel for the petitioner submits that despite his best endeavours for the last two years, he is not able to seek instruction.
3. Learned counsel for the complainant submits that much water has flown after the cognizance was taken, the trial has commenced, charges have been framed and witnesses have been examined.
4. Considering the submission made by the learned counsel for the complainant, the Court is not inclined to entertain the quashing application.



5. Accordingly, the quashing application is dismissed.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

Rishi/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

