

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.5431 of 2023

=====

Baby Kumari Wife of Mukesh Kumar Resident of Village- S.D.F. (NGO),
Rasulpur Enayat Urf Babhantoli, P.S.- Goraul, District- Vaishali, Pin- 84414

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Principal Secretary, Social Welfare Department, Govt. of Bihar, Patna.
2. The Director, I.C.D.S., Bihar, Patna.
3. The District Magistrate, Vaishali.
4. The District Programme Officer, (I.C.D.S.), Vaishali.
5. The Child Development Project Officer, Goraul Block, Vaishali.
6. The Lady Supervisor, Goraul Block, Vaishali.
7. Smt. Mamta Kumari, Wife of Raghvendra Kumar Yadav, Resident of vill- Babhantoli, P.s Goraul Distt- Vaishali

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr.Vasant Vikas, Adv.
For the Respondent/s	:	Ms.Kumari Amrita, GP- 3
		Mr.Rajesh Kumar, AC to GP-3

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE MOHIT KUMAR SHAH
ORAL ORDER

2 08-11-2023 1. The present writ petition has been filed seeking the following relief(s):-

“1.i. For issuance of an order, direction, and writ in the nature of Certiorari to quash the order contained in Anganbadi Appeal Case No. 292/2019 (34/2020-21) (Baby Kumari Vs. The State of Bihar & Ors.) dated 06.02.2023 passed by the District Collector, Vaishali whereby and where under an application/appeal preferred by the petitioner against the order passed in Anganbadi Case No. 55/18 dated 15.02.2019 has been rejected without considering the case of the petitioner that the father-



in-law of the Respondent No. 7 was elected Panch of Gram Panchayat Raj Pirapur Mathura at the time of said advertisement for the appointment of Anganbadi Sevika, Centre No. 211, Ward No. 13, Babhantoli Panchayat, Pirapur Mathura, Goraul Block, Dist-Vaishali.

ii. For issuance of an order, direction, and writ in the nature of certiorari to quash the order contained Anganbadi Appeal Case No. 55/18 dated 15.02.2019 (Baby Kumari Vs. The State of Bihar & Ors.).”

2. At the outset, the learned counsel for the petitioner seeks not to press the present writ petition, however, seeks liberty on behalf of the petitioner to avail such other alternative remedies as are otherwise available under the law, including that of filing a suit before the learned Civil Court having competent jurisdiction for redressal of her aforesaid grievances. Liberty so sought is granted.

3. The writ petition stands dismissed as not pressed.

(Mohit Kumar Shah, J)

sonal/-

U			
---	--	--	--

