

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.21199 of 2014

=====

Ranjan Kumar Son of Sri Dilip Prasad Singh Resident of Village -
Gandhipur , Police Station- Bariyarpur , District - Munger.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The Union of India
2. Chief Security Commissioner R.P.F. Eastern Railway , Kolkata.
3. Assistant Security Commissioner, R.P.F. Eastern Railway Liluah, R.P.F.
Camp Liluah (near Liluah Railway Station) District-Howrah

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Shambhu Prasad, Advocate
For the Respondent/s : Mr. Raj Kamal, C.G.C.

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE MOHIT KUMAR SHAH
ORAL ORDER

2 10-08-2023 1. The present writ petition has been filed
seeking the following relief:-

*“1. That, this is an application for
issuance of writ(s) / order(s) /
direction(s) on the respondents to
issue joining letter for the post of
constable (RPF) to the petitioner who
has qualified in written test and also
in physical test and the final result of
the petitioner was published bearing
roll no. 2113306001 for the post of
Constable (R.P.F.) against the
employment notice no. 01/0011 and
the petitioner was directed to appear
for viva-voce and document*



verification which the petitioner appeared in viva-voce and all his documents were verified and finally was assured by the respondents that the joining letter will follow him at his residence but the respondents are not issuing joining letter even after contacting to them.”

2. The learned counsel for the respondents has submitted, by referring to the counter affidavit filed in the present case, that during verification of the certificates and other documents of the petitioner it was detected that his left thumb impression on the application form, PET/TMT sheet and on the verification sheet did not match with his L.T.I. on his O.M.R. sheet, which is apparent from the report dated 24.06.2014, hence on account of such mismatch, there was no occasion to consider the case of the petitioner for appointment, inasmuch as the same smacks of use of unfair means. It is also submitted that in any view of the matter, the selection process in question pertains to the employment notice of the



year 2011, which has already stood completed long back, hence nothing survives for consideration in the present writ petition.

3. Having regard to the facts and circumstances of the case, this Court finds that the present writ petition is devoid of any merit, hence stands dismissed.

(Mohit Kumar Shah, J)

S.Sb/-

U			
---	--	--	--

