

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**  
**CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No. 22483 of 2023**

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-798 Year-2022 Thana- PURNIA COMPLAINT CASE District-  
Purnia

MD. MUKARRAM @ MUKARRAM S/O MD. TAMIJ @ MD. TAMIZ  
Resident of Village- Darha, P.S.- Dagaru, District- Purnia.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. SABRIN W/O MD. MUKARRAM @ MUKARRAM, D/O SARWAR  
ALAM Resident of Village- Darha, P.S.- Dagarua, District- Purnia.

... .. Opposite Party/s

**Appearance :**

|                          |   |                                |
|--------------------------|---|--------------------------------|
| For the Petitioner/s     | : | Mr.Ram Prawesh Kumar, Advocate |
| For the Opposite Party/s | : | Mr.Gulnar Begum, APP           |
| For the Complainant      | : | Mr. Rajesh Kumar, Adv.         |

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SUDHIR SINGH**  
**ORAL ORDER**

2      24-06-2023                      Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned  
APP for the State.

The petitioner is apprehending his arrest in a case registered  
under Sections 498(A) & 323 of the Indian Penal Code.

Allegation against the petitioner is of committing torture upon  
the victim due to non-fulfillment of demand of dowry.

It has been submitted on behalf of the petitioner that the  
petitioner has got no criminal antecedent. There is no allegation of  
tampering of witnesses alleged against the petitioner. The petitioner  
has falsely been implicated in the present case due to petty family  
dispute. The case is triable by the Magistrate. The petitioner has  
relied upon the judgment of this Court in the case of *Md. Naimul  
Haque Ansari @ Naimul Haque Ansari & Ors. Vs. The State of*



***Bihar, reported in 2006(3) PLJR 182.***

On behalf of the State, it is submitted that the petitioner is named in the Complaint Case/F.I.R.

Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances, let the petitioner, above named, in the event of arrest/surrender before the learned court below within a period of twelve weeks from today, be released on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs.10,000/- (Ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the Court below/concerned Court in connection with Complaint Case No. 798 of 2022, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 438(2) of the Code of Criminal Procedure.

The petitioner is directed to co-operate during the trial. If the petitioner does not co-operate during the trial, the Court below will be at liberty to cancel the bail bonds of the petitioner.

If so advised, either of the parties will be at liberty to make an application before the Court below for referring the matter to the District Mediation Centre for the purpose of reconciliation or one time settlement. In case, any such application is made by either of the parties, the Court below shall refer the matter to the District Mediation Centre.

**(Sudhir Singh, J)**

A.K.V.//-

|   |  |   |  |
|---|--|---|--|
| U |  | T |  |
|---|--|---|--|

