

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.5170 of 2023

=====

Baby Bibha W/o Sri Dinesh Kumar Mishra Resident of Mohalla- Purabsarai,
Durga Sthan Gali, P.S.- Kotwali, Town and District- Munger.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Principal Secretary, Department of Panchayati Raj, Bihar, Patna.
2. The Principal Secretary, Department of Panchayati Raj, Bihar, Patna.
3. The District Magistrate, Munger.
4. The Zila Parishad, Munger, through the Chief Executive Officer, Zila Parishad, Munger.
5. The Chief Executive Officer, Zila Parishad, Munger.
6. Sri Rajeev Kumar, Head Assistant Zila Parishad, Munger.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr.Suneil Kumar Thakur, Adv.
For the State	:	Mr.Kameshwar Prasad Gupta, GP-10
		Mr.Satya Vrat, AC to GP-10
For the Zila Parishad	:	Mr.S.D. Yadav, Adv.

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE MOHIT KUMAR SHAH
ORAL ORDER

2 01-11-2023 1. The present writ petition has been filed seeking the following relief(s):-

“1. That this is an application for issuance of an appropriate writ/writs in the nature of Certiorari for quashing of the office order as contained in Memo No.90/Munger dated 27.02.2023 issued by and under the signature of the respondent Chief Executive Officer, Zila Parishad, Munger, whereby and whereunder inter alia a direction has been given to the incumbent Head Assistant, Sri Raj to hand over the charge of the Head Assistant to the private respondent Sri Rajeev Kumar, Assistant



Zila Parishad, Munger and further for issuance of a consequential writ in the nature of Mandamus commanding and directing the respondent authorities to hand over the charge of the Accountant-cum-Head Assistant to the petitioner, the post, which the petitioner held till the issuance of the office order as contained in Memo No. 212/Munger dated 20.03.2021, issued by and under the signature of the Deputy Development Commissioner-cum-Chief Executive Officer, Munger.”

2. The learned counsel for the petitioner seeks to withdraw the present writ petition, to which the learned counsel for the respondent-State has got no objection.

3. Accordingly, the present writ petition stands dismissed as not pressed.

(Mohit Kumar Shah, J)

sonal/-

U			
---	--	--	--

