

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.21163 of 2023

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-1080 Year-2021 Thana- PURNIA COMPLAINT CASE District-
Purnia

=====

PANKAJ KUMAR SINGH Son of Mangal Singh Resident of village - Angarh
Hat Kanjia, P.S.- Angarh, District - Purnia

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. Rojoni Kumari Daughter of Bippi Lal Singh Wife of Pankaj Kumar Singh,
Resident of village - Angarh Hat Kanjia, P.S.- Angarh, District - Purnia

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Brajesh Prasad Gupta, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Manoj Kumar , APP

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PRABHAT KUMAR SINGH
ORAL ORDER

2 19-05-2023 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner, the State

and the opposite party no.2.

The petitioner who is husband of opposite party no. 2
apprehends arrest in a case registered for the offence punishable
under Sections 498 (A), 323 of the Indian
Penal Code.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the
petitioner offers and undertakes that he is ready to give
maintenance amount of Rs. 3000/-per month, starting from this
month, to opposite party no. 2.

In view of the undertaking of learned counsel for the



petitioner that petitioner is ready to give maintenance amount of Rs. 3000/- per month, in the event of arrest/surrender within a period of six weeks from today, above-named petitioner be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of Learned Chief Judicial Magistrate, Purnia and his successor court in connection with C.A. case no. 1080 of 2021, subject to the conditions laid down under section 438(2) of the Code of Criminal Procedure as well as on the following conditions:-

(1) Opposite party no. 2 would file an affidavit before the court below and bring on record her saving bank account number for its communication to the petitioner.

(2) Petitioner would deposit the aforesaid maintenance amount per month in the saving bank account of the opposite party no. 2.

(3) In case, the petitioner fails to deposit the maintenance amount for two consecutive months, the court below would be at liberty to cancel the bail-bond.

(4) The aforementioned payment will be subject to any order passed in matrimonial, maintenance or connected proceedings. The present order, in no way, will preclude the



parties to resolve the issue otherwise.

(Prabhat Kumar Singh, J)

Kaushik/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

