

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.19715 of 2015

Pradeep Kumar Singh S/o Shri Sunil Kumar Singh R/o Village - Numar, P.S. -
Barhat, District - Jamui.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State Of Bihar through the Secretary, Rural Development Department
2. The Bihar Rural Livelihoods Promotion Society, State Rural Livelihoods Mission, Bihar, through the Chief Executive Officer "Jeevika"
3. The Chief Executive Officer, 'Jeevika' The Bihar Rural Livelihoods Promotion Society, State Rural Livelihoods Mission, Bihar
4. The Administrative Officer, The Bihar Rural Livelihoods Promotion Society, State Rural Livelihoods Mission, Bihar
5. The State Project Manager, HRD, The Bihar Rural Livelihoods Promotion Society, State Rural Livelihoods Mission, Bihar

... .. Respondent/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr.Rajesh Kumar Sinha, Adv.
For the Respondent/s	:	Mr. Raghwananad, GA-11
		Rajnish Shandilya, AC to GA-11

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE MOHIT KUMAR SHAH
ORAL ORDER

2 07-10-2023 The present writ petition has been filed seeking the following reliefs :-

1(i). For setting aside the Office Order issued vide Ref. No. BRLPS/PF/453 dated 22.05.2015 passed by the order of the Chief Executive Officer, "Jeevika" The Bihar Rural Livelihoods Promotion Society, State Rural Livelihoods Mission, Bihar (Respondent No. 2) under the signature of the Director "Jeevika" The Bihar Rural Livelihoods Promotion Society, State Rural Livelihoods Mission, Bihar (Respondent No. 5), whereby the contract with the petitioner has been



terminated;

(ii). For setting aside the Office Order issued vide Ref. No. BRLPS/PF/857 dated 26.06.2015 by the Administrative Officer, The Bihar Rural Livelihoods Promotion Society, State Rural Livelihoods Mission, Bihar (Respondent No. 4) by the order of the Respondent No. 2, whereby the Appeal preferred by the petitioner against the Office Order issued vide Ref. No. BRLPS / PF / 453 dated 22.05.2015 has been rejected, without assigning any cogent and succinct reasons.”

2. After some arguments, the learned counsel for the petitioners seeks not to press the present writ petition, however, seeks liberty on behalf of the petitioners to avail such other alternative remedies as are otherwise available under the law including that of filing a suit before the learned Civil Court having competent jurisdiction. Liberty, so sought, is granted.

3. The writ petition stands dismissed as not pressed.

(Mohit Kumar Shah, J)

Ajay/-

U			
---	--	--	--

