

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.20484 of 2023

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-60 Year-2022 Thana- AGRER District- Rohtas

1. IMRAN KHAN @ MD IMRAN KHAN SON OF LATE SARFUDDIN KHAN RESIDENT OF VILLAGE- BARADIH, P S- AGRER , DISTRICT ROHTAS
2. JAVED KHAN @ MD JAVED AHMAD @ MD JAVED KHAN SON OF LATE SARFUDDIN KHAN RESIDENT OF VILLAGE- BARADIH, P S- AGRER , DISTRICT ROHTAS

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Bhaskar Shankar, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Ajay Kumar Jha, A.P.P.

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

2 24-06-2023 Heard learned Senior counsel for the petitioners
and learned A.P.P. for the State.

The petitioners apprehend their arrest in a case registered for the offences punishable under Sections 307, 341, 323, 324 and 34 of the Indian Penal Code and Section 27 of the Arms Act.

The informant alleges that accused persons including the petitioners came to his shop and Jiyauddin Khan shot Mansarul Hasan causing injury on the upper part of his head and thereafter it is alleged that the petitioners along with others stabbed the informant and his brother.



Learned Senior counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners are persons with clean antecedent and have been falsely implicated in the present case, it is next submitted that no doubt the allegation as alleged appears to be serious but from perusal of the injury report it would manifest that the injuries were caused by hard and blunt substance and there is no sign of any gun shot injury or stab wound. The learned Senior counsel fairly submits that some of the injuries are found to be grievous also but then it is not the case of the informant that he was assaulted by the petitioners by hard and blunt substance, it is thus submitted that it appears that the occurrence took place in some other manner and the FIR came to be instituted only with a view to implicate the petitioners.

Learned A.P.P. for the State opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioners.

Considering the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioners, the petitioners above-named, in the event of their arrest or surrender before the learned trial court within a period of six weeks from today, be released on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs. 10,000/-



(Rupees Ten Thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned trial court where the case is pending/successor court in connection with Agrer P.S. Case No. 60 of 2022 subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 438 (2) of the Cr.P.C.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

GauravSinha/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

