

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.19746 of 2014

=====

Bhrigu Nath Sahay, s/o late Biadya Nath Sahay, Sahay Sadan, Pakki Gauraiya, Near Mahila Charkha School, Patnacity, Patna-800008 (Bihar)

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State Of Bihar through Principal Secretary, Urban Development Department, Bihar, Patna.
2. Patna Municipal Corporation through Municipal Commissioner, Patna.
3. The Municipal Finance and Accounts Controller, Patna Municipal Corporation, Patna.
4. The Executive Officer, New Capital Circle, P.M.C., Patna.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Ramendra Prasad, Advocate
For the Respondent/s : Mr.

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE MOHIT KUMAR SHAH
ORAL ORDER

2 09-08-2023 1. The present petition has been filed seeking the following relief :-

“(i) For issuance of a writ/writs, direction/directions by way of issuance of writ of mandamus directing the said respondent authorities to forthwith dispose of the representation dated 21.08.2014 in respect of first term enhance scale pay has been made on 01.01.1996 which is effected from 01.02.2007 and compliance on 18.11.2010, in this score said differences of pay and D.A. dues of period becomes three years and 8 months and after some time, again as likewise that second terms of 6th revised scale pay has been made. This scale has been effected from 01.04.2010 and compliance on date 01.11.2010 i.e. differences



of pay and D.A. dues period becomes 8 months. Above- mentioned Pay and D.A. differences withheld by the authorities without any valid ground or reason as well as the further prayer is for interest on the basis of admissible rate on the entire all differences of arrears of both enhanced revised scale and delay payment by virtue of negligence. The concerned authorities have adopted pick and choose policy and whimsical attitude. The concerned authorities have been given such above mentioned arrear of those employees, petitioner, who has highly approached to the said authorities. The petitioner has abundantly filed hereinafter those claim paper which have been made order by the said authority. ”

2. After some arguments, the learned counsel for the petitioner seeks liberty on behalf of the petitioner to approach the respondent- authorities for redressal of his subsisting grievances, if any. Liberty so sought, is granted.
3. The writ petition stands disposed off.

(Mohit Kumar Shah, J)

rinkee/-

U			
---	--	--	--

