

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.20626 of 2022

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-72 Year-2019 Thana- PAROO District- Muzaffarpur

RITA DEVI W/o Umesh Ray Resident of Village- Mahmadpur, P.S.- Paroo,
District- Muzaffarpur.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Hari Kishore Thakur, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Jai Narain Thakur, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

3 06-01-2023 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
A.P.P. for the State through the virtual Court proceedings.

The petitioner seeks bail in a case registered for the offences punishable under Sections 302, 201 and 34 of the Indian Penal Code.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner is in custody since 09.12.2021 and is a person with clean antecedent and is a woman and the informant, who is uncle of the deceased, alleges that Laldev was earlier married to Rinku and from the said marriage a child was born, further after the death of Rinku, the niece of the informant was married to Laldev, it is next alleged that the husband of the victim used to work outside and the petitioner along with the accused persons used to torture her. It is further alleged that he came to know



that the accused persons, including the petitioner, in absence of her husband killed her.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner has been falsely implicated in the present case, it is next submitted that petitioner is sister-in-law (gotani of the deceased), it is also submitted that since the husband of the deceased was staying outside and the child from his first marriage was staying with the deceased and there was a fight between the two and accordingly the son from the first wife had complained to Laldev, his father, on which he had reprimanded the deceased on which she committed suicide. The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that it absolutely does not stand to reason that as to why the petitioner would have tortured the deceased, it is also submitted that informant is not an eye-witness to the occurrence and the entire allegation hinges around suspicion.

Learned A.P.P. for the State opposes the prayer for bail of the petitioner.

Considering the submission made by the learned counsel for the petitioner, the petitioner above named be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount to the



satisfaction of the learned trial court where the case is pending/successor court in connection with Paroo P.S. Case No. 72 of 2019.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

Shivam/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

