

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.11857 of 2015

1. Diwakar Sachin Son of Late Arun Kumar Choudhary, resident of Ward No. 7, Chakla -nirmali, P.s. - Supaul, District - Supaul.
2. Binit Kumar, Son of Ramchandra Prasad Sinha, resident of House No. 276, Indira Nagar, Near Rajapul, PS. - Srikrishnapuri, District- Patna.
3. Sanjeev KUMAR Gagendra Singh, Son of Gajendra Kumar Singh, resident of Jay Prakash Nagar, P.O. - B.M.P. - 7, P.S. - Sahayak Thana, Disrict -Katihar.
4. Vikash Kumar, Son of Ramchandra Prasad Sinha, resident of House No. 276, Indira Nagar, Nar Rajapul, P.S. - Srikrishnapuri, District Patna.
5. Upendra Kumar Yadav, Son of Lalan Yadav, Village - Barisarai, P.O. - Hasanpura, P.s. - M.H. Nagar, District - Siwan.
6. Saket Saurabh, Sonof Dr. P.S. Srivastava, resident of Flat No. 101, Shanti Niketan Apartment, Gola Road, P.s. - Rupaspur, District - Patna.
7. Binay Kumar, Son of shankar Ram, resident of Kankatti, P.S. - Kothiya Hariram, P.S. - Mehshi, District - East Champaran.
8. Kumar Abhishek, Son of Shiv Saran Singh, resident of Sanjay Nagar, P.S. - Jakkanpur, District- Patna.
9. Ram Pravesh Paswan, Son of Bateshwar Paswan, resident of Rusulpur Sohwan, P.O. - Bhagwanpur, P.S. - Bhagwanpur, District- Vaishali.
10. Saurabh Kumar, Son of Birchand Patel, resident of behid Sulaman Dargah, Barh, P.S. - Barh, District- Patna.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State Of Bihar
2. The Principal Secretary, Urban Development and HOusing Department, Govt. of Bihar, Patna.
3. The Deputy Secretary, Urban Development and Housing Department, Govt. of Bihar, Patna.
4. BSA Corporation Ltd., Patna.
5. G.A. Digital - Web World, Delhi.
6. Comex Computer, Chapra.
7. Vani system Pt. Ltd., Lucknow.

... .. Respondent/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Prabhu Nath Pathak, Adv.

For the Respondent/s : Mr. Anirban Kundu, SC-24

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE MOHIT KUMAR SHAH



ORAL ORDER

2 25-08-2023 The present writ petition has been filed seeking the following relief:-

“1. That by this application, the petitioners are invoking the writ jurisdiction of this Hon'ble Court in the nature of writ of mandamus for directing the respondent-Urban Development and Housing Department, Patna to ensure compliance of its direction to the concerned agencies for issuing appointment letter to the petitioners, who were already working under contract since 2012 and further they were selected for extension of service under contract on the basis of their performance by the respondent-Urban Development Department, Bihar and hence, the respondent-Urban Development and Housing Department issued letter No. 3314 dated 25-11-2014 (Annexure-2) to the concerned agencies to ensure their joining till 01-12-2014 but the concerned agency have not issued appointment letter resulting the petitioner have been discontinued from the engagement against the post who were working since 2012 without their fault.”

2. After some arguments, the learned counsel for the petitioners seeks not to press the present writ petition, however, seeks liberty on behalf of the petitioners to avail such other alternative remedies as are otherwise available under the law.



Liberty, so sought, is granted.

3. The writ petition stands dismissed as not pressed.

(Mohit Kumar Shah, J)

Ajay/-

U			
---	--	--	--

