

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.11147 of 2015

Dr. Rajesh Kumar Choudhary son of Sri Bateshwar Choudhary by resident of Village and P.O. - Madanpur Kahara, Via - Dhabauli, District - Madhepura, Bihar present residing at C/o Shri Batesh Maharaj, Sadhak Samaj, Mohanpur, Punaichak P.O. and P.S. Shastri Nagar, Patna - 23 Bihar.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State Of Bihar
2. The Principal Secretary, Science and Technology Department, Technical Bhawan, Government of Bihar,
3. The Joint Secretary, Science and Technology Department Technical Bhawan, Government of Bihar, Patna
4. The Deputy Secretar, Science and Technology Department Technical Bhawan, Government of Bihar, Patna
5. The Director, Science and Technology Department Technical Bhawan, Government of Bihar, Patna.
6. The Principal Government Polytechnic College, Saharsa, District - Saharsa.

... .. Respondent/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Gopal Pandey, Adv.
For the Respondent/s : Mr. Akash Raj, AC to GA-5

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE MOHIT KUMAR SHAH
ORAL ORDER

2 24-08-2023 The present writ petition has been filed seeking the following relief:-

“1. That this writ application is directed for issuance of a writ in the nature of Certiorari or any other writ / writs direction quashing the order dated 24.04.2015 passed by Respondent No. 2, Principal Secretary, Science and Technology, Government of Bihar issued vide Memo No. 992 dated 29.04.2015 contained in Annexure 9 whereby and whereunder he has illegally rejected the claim of the Petitioner for



extension of his service on the post of Lecturer Department of Humanity in Government Polytechnic College, Saharsa.

This writ petition is further directed for issuance of a direction commanding and directing the Respondents authorities concerned not to act in a discriminatory manner with the Petitioner directing them to extend the service of Petitioner with effect from 16.03.2012 on the post of Lecturer Department of Humanity with all consequential benefit to which he is found entitled under law.”

2. After some arguments, the learned counsel for the petitioner seeks not to press the present writ petition, however, seeks liberty on behalf of the petitioner to avail such other alternative remedies as are otherwise available under the law. Liberty, so sought, is granted.

3. The writ petition stands dismissed as not pressed.

(Mohit Kumar Shah, J)

Ajay/-

--	--	--	--

