

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.22707 of 2023

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-168 Year-2022 Thana- MINAPUR District- Muzaffarpur

SONI DEVI W/O LALAN RAM R/O VILLAGE- PURAINA, P.S-
MINAPUR, DISTT.- MUZAFFARPUR.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Sunil Kumar Pandey

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Chandra Sen Prasad Singh

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE CHANDRA PRAKASH
SINGH**

ORAL ORDER

2 24-06-2023 Learned counsel for the petitioner is permitted to remove the defect(s), as pointed out by the office, if any, within a period of three weeks from today.

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
Additional Public Prosecutor for the State.

The petitioner is apprehending her arrest in a case in connection with Minapur P.S. Case No. 168 of 2022 dated 15.4.2022 registered for the offences punishable u/s 147, 148, 341, 342, 323, 307, 224, 353, 504 of the Indian Penal Code.

As per the prosecution's case, the informant along with other police personnel went to village Puraina, P.S. Minapur to arrest some accused persons against whom bailable warrant were issued and also to raid illegal liquor trade. It is



further alleged therein that accused persons namely Rajeev Ram and Mantosh Ram wanted in Minapur P.S. Case No. 436/2019, U/s- 342, 338, 307, 325, 302/34 IPC were arrested and then the other co-accused persons forming an unlawful assembly, surrounded the police team and attacked them and got the apprehended accused Rajeev Ram set free. It is further alleged therein that the mob also attacked ASI Rajeev Kumar with the intention to kill him and also obstructed the police personnel to discharge their official duties.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner has falsely been implicated in this case. There is general and omnibus allegation against the petitioner. Learned counsel has further submitted that no overt act has been attributed against the petitioner and the petitioner is a poor labourer. The petitioner has got no criminal antecedent as stated in para 3 of the bail petition.

Learned A.P.P. for the State has vehemently opposed the anticipatory bail petition of the petitioner.

Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances, let the above named petitioner, who is lady, in the event of her arrest/surrender within a period of six weeks from today, be enlarged on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail-bond of Rs.



20,000/- (Rupees twenty thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned court concerned, Muzaffarpur, in connection with Minapur P.S. Case No. 168 of 2022, subject to conditions as laid down under section 438(2) of the Code of Criminal Procedure.

The application stands allowed.

(Chandra Prakash Singh, J)

Ajay Singh/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

