

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.11619 of 2015

=====

Ramita Kumari Wife of Sri Baban Paswan, Resident of village- Arer
Sinuwara, Police Station and Post Office- Arer, District- Madhubani

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar.
2. The Principal Secretary, Social welfare Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
3. The Director, Social welfare Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
4. The Project Director, State Society for Altrapoor and Social Welfare, Apna Ghar, 2nd Floor, behind Lalit Bhawan, Baily Road, Patna
5. The District Magistrate, Madhubani
6. The District Programme Officer, Madhubani
7. Meera Kumari, Wife of Sri Birendra Kumar Rai, Resident of village- Arer Laxmipur, P.S. and P.O.- Arer Hat, District- Madhubani

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr.Satish Chandra Jha-3, Adv. Mr.Shambhoo Kr. Suman, Adv.
For the Respondent/s	:	Mr.Ashok Kumar, SC-11

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE MOHIT KUMAR SHAH
ORAL ORDER

2 25-08-2023 The present writ petition has been filed seeking the following relief(s):-

“1.(i) To quash the selection of private respondent no 7 as Udipika because petitioner who belongs to Schedule Caste category and the post on which private respondent no 7 who belongs to E.B.C category has been selected, which was earmarked for Scheduled Caste women and petitioner having the requisite qualification applied for the said post accordingly Admit Card was issued to her and she appeared in the written test and she was declared



successful candidate, where after she appeared in counselling on 29.12.2014 and finally she was called for to participate in cycle test, in which also she was declared successful, even though the Respondent no 6 for his personal gain has appointed private respondent no 7 illegally ignoring the better claim of the petitioner.

(ii) To direct the respondents to appoint the petitioner on the post of Udipika (Arer South) forthwith and pay all consequential benefit, because the said post was earmarked as per roster point for S.C. Category and petitioner having been finally selected for the said post even though, she has not been offered appointment letter by the respondent no 6 who has committed illegality in selection process.”

At the outset, the learned counsel for the petitioner submits that probably with the efflux of time, the present writ petition has been rendered infructuous, hence the same be disposed off.

Accordingly, the present writ petition stands disposed off.

(Mohit Kumar Shah, J)

sonal/-

U			
---	--	--	--

