

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.12021 of 2015

=====

Rajesh Kumar Pandit, Son of Ram Charitra Pandit, resident of village-
Mohanaghu, PO Bariaghu, PS Muffasil, District- Begusarai, Bihar

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. Sudhir Kumar Belha, son of Late Mayadhar Bahra, R/O Village and P.O. Daulatabad, P.S.- Choudwar, Distt. Cuttak 754026 Chief Managing Director, Real Vision International Limited and REalvision Microfinance Company Ltd. Registered office Satyakali, Residency, Plot No. 5/452 Top Floor Jayadar Bihar, Bhubaneshwar 751015
3. Ashok Kumar Pattnayak, son of Late Antaryami Patnayak, 432, Bachi, Vihar, Palasuni, Rasulgarh, Bhubaneshwar 751010, Director RVIL and REMF
4. Bidesi Behera, son of Purna Chandra Behra At Girisola PO Kirapolli PS Bhananagar, District- Ganjan 761119
5. Prasanna Kumar Nayak, Son of Narendra Nath Nayak At PO Patpur Via Bahugram PS Salipur, Cuttak 754200 Director RVIL and RVMS
6. Sidhartha Kumar Barik, Son of Bholeswar Banik At Govindapur PO Kasaripur Via Saro Balasore- 756045, Director RVIL RVMF
7. Pabitra Kumar Rath, son of Muralidhar Rath At Paik Baghvia Bererir- PO Khamar Sahi Via Satapatana, PS Daspall, Nayagarh, 752091 Director RVIL and RVME
8. Sukanta Biswas, son of Rahashyam Biswal At Chakisiani PO Rusulgarh PS Mancheshwar, Bhubaneshwar, Khurd- 754200 Director RVIL and RVMF
9. Securities Exechange and Board of India SEBI, Branch Udyog Bhawan, Gandhi Maidan, Patna
10. Shreddhar Bindhani, Son Late Narendra Bitodhar Resident of Village- Patrapade, PO Sunahat, PS Chandipur, District- Belesar, MRFATDAR R.V.I.L. and RVMF

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : None
For the Respondent/s : Mr.Ashok Kumar, SC 11

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE A. ABHISHEK REDDY
ORAL ORDER

5 18-09-2023 This Court vide order dated 05.07.2023 had directed

the petitioner to issue notice to the Respondent Nos. 2 to 10



under speed post with A/D as well as ordinary process.

2. Registry has put up a notes stating that the petitioner has not filed requisites within the time. Thereafter, on 18.08.2023 and 04.09.2023, there was no representation on behalf of the petitioner.

3. Even today, when the matter is listed under the heading “For Orders”, there is no representation on behalf of the petitioner. It appears that the petitioner is not interested in prosecuting the present writ petition.

4. Having regard to the same, the matter is dismissed for non-prosecution.

(A. Abhishek Reddy , J)

Bhardwaj/-

U			
---	--	--	--

