

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**  
**Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.16938 of 2014**

=====

Adhiwakta Lipik Sangh S/o Late Mohan Prasad Yadav Resident of Mohalla -  
Purnea Court Station, P.S. K. Hat, District - Purnea.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. Bihar State Bar Council through its Chairman, Patna Bar Council Bhawan,  
P.S. Kotwali, District- Patna.
2. The Chairman, Bihar State Bar Council, Bar Council, Bhawan, P.S. Kotwali,  
District- Patna.
3. Officiating Secretary, Bihar State Bar Council, Bar Council, Bhawan, P.S. -  
Kotwali, District- Patna
4. District Bar Association, Purnea through its President, in front of Civil  
Court, Purnea, P.S. K. Hat, District-Purnea
5. President, District Bar Association, Purnea in front of Civil Court, Purnea,  
P.S. K. Hat, District-Purnea
6. General Secretary, District Bar Association, Purnea in front of Civil Court,  
Purnea, P.S. K. Hat, District-Purnea

... .. Respondent/s

=====

**Appearance :**

|                                 |   |                            |
|---------------------------------|---|----------------------------|
| For the Petitioner/s            | : | Mr.Ranjay Kumar Singh,Adv. |
| For the Respondent/s            | : | Mr.Gyan Prakash Ojha,Adv.  |
| For the Bihar State Bar Council | : | Mr.Shantanu Kumar,Adv.     |

=====

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE MOHIT KUMAR SHAH**  
**ORAL ORDER**

2      02-03-2023      The present writ petition has been filed  
seeking the following relief:-

*“1. For issuance of a writ in the nature of  
Mandamus or any other appropriate  
writ/writs/order/orders/direction/directions  
to the respondent no.4 to 6, i.e. District  
Bar Association, Purnea and its office  
bearers to pay to the petitioner body their  
share of Rs.8,59,221/- for the period of  
October 1995 to December, 2003, which*



*they were entitled to in terms of Resolution passed by District Bar Association, Purnea in 1989 and in terms of Model Rules framed by the Bihar State Bar Council on 28.07.1991 vide Resolution No.54 (reported in 2000(2) BBCJ) and Respondent Nos.1 to 3 be directed and commanded to ensure payment of said amount."*

After some arguments, the learned counsel for the petitioner seeks not to press the present writ petition, however, seeks liberty on behalf of the petitioner to avail such other alternative remedies, as are otherwise available under the law, for redressal of his aforesaid grievances. Liberty, so sought, is granted.

The writ petition stands dismissed as not pressed.

**(Mohit Kumar Shah, J)**

S.Sb/Sonal-

|   |  |  |  |
|---|--|--|--|
| U |  |  |  |
|---|--|--|--|

