

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.22867 of 2023

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-56 Year-2016 Thana- FATUA District- Patna

Munna Singh Son Of Chandeshwar Prasad @ Chandeshwar Prasad Singh @
Chandeshwar Singh Resident Of Village- Fatehpur, Ps- Raghapur, Distt-
Vaishali

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Sujit Kumar, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s	:	Mr. Tapeswar Sharma, APP
For the Informant/s	:	Mr. Y.V. Giri, Sr. Advocate
		Ms. Riya Giri, Advocate

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE CHANDRA SHEKHAR JHA
ORAL ORDER

3 14-07-2023 Heard learned counsel appearing on behalf of the parties.

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner submitted that this is the 4th attempt for bail on behalf of petitioner as on three different earlier occasions his prayer of regular bail was declined by this Court, where he is in custody since 10.03.2016. It is pointed out that the prosecution evidence completed and accused was also examined under Section 313 of the Indian Penal Code and now matter is fixed for defence evidence. After short argument learned counsel for the petitioner prayed to direct the learned Trial Court as to conclude the trial within three months in view of custody period, whereas fairly



conceded that there is no change in circumstances which may creates a new merit in favour of this petitioner except custody period.

Learned APP for the State duly assisted by learned senior counsel Mr. Y.V. Giri, appearing on behalf of the informant while opposing the prayer of bail submitted that there is no fresh ground to consider the present bail. It is also submitted that on three different occasions, the prayer of bail of petitioner had already rejected by this Court.

In view of above mentioned facts and circumstances and by taking note of the fact as no fresh material is available to consider this 4th attempt of bail, accordingly, the prayer of bail of petitioner is rejected on this occasion also.

Learned Trial Court is directed to conclude the trial within three months, positively, after concluding the examination of defence evidence, failing which petitioner may renew his prayer of bail, if so advised.

(Chandra Shekhar Jha, J)

pooja/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

