

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.6253 of 2019

=====

Priti Kumari, aged about 32 years, Female, D/o Sunil Thakur, Resident of Village- Fattepur, Police Station- Pandaul, Behahi, District Madhubani, at present posted as Assistant Teacher, Middle School, Punervia, Block- Runni Saidpur, District- Sitamarhi.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Principal Secretary, Education Department, Bihar, Patna.
2. The Principal Secretary, Education Department, Bihar, Patna.
3. The Chairman, Bihar School Examination Board, Bihar, Patna.
4. The Secretary, Bihar School Examination Board, Patna.
5. The District Education Officer, Sitamarhi.
6. The District Programme Officer (Estab.), Sitamarhi.
7. The Block Development Officer, Runni Saidpur, District- Sitamarhi.
8. The Block Education Officer, Block- Runni Saidpur, District- Sitamarhi.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

with

Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No. 6289 of 2019

=====

Priti Kumari D/o Sunil Thakur, Resident of Village - Fattepur, Police Station - Pandaul, Behahi, District - Madhubani, at Poresent Posted as Assistant Teacher, Middle School, Punervia, Block - Runni Saidpur, District - Sitamarhi.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Principal Secretary, Education Department, Bihar, Patna.
2. The Principal Secretary, Education Department, Bihar, Patna.
3. The District Magistrate, Sitamarhi.
4. The District Education Officer, Sitamarhi.
5. The Education Officer, Block -Runnisaidpur, District - Sitamarhi.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

(In Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No. 6253 of 2019)

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Binod Kumar, Advocate

For the Respondent/s : Mr. Madhukar Mishra, AC to SC 16

(In Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No. 6289 of 2019)

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Binod Kumar, Advocate



For the State : Mr. Madhaw Prasad Yadaw, GP 23
Mr. Arvind Kumar, AC to GP 23

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SANJEEV PRAKASH SHARMA
ORAL ORDER

8 24-02-2023 Heard the parties.

Admittedly, the petitioner had come before this Court claiming for salary. After verification of her certificate, her salary has already been released. However, learned counsel for the petitioner is not fully satisfied and claimed that as the petitioner had also undergone training, she should be paid salary in the trained pay scale.

The relief as prayed originally by the petitioner stands already redressed.

However, if the petitioner wants any further relief regarding revised pay of trained pay scale, she can always file an appeal before the concerned District Appellate Authority for her claims.

Accordingly, the writ petitions stand disposed of

(Sanjeev Prakash Sharma, J)

Arish/-
Item No. 51 &
51.1

U			
---	--	--	--

