

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.16662 of 2014

=====

Manas Kumar Verma Son of Late Raj Nandan Prasad Verma Resident of 104,
Gauri Shankar Complex, Doctor's Colony, Lohia Nagar, Police Station -
Kankarbagh, District - Patna

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State Of Bihar
2. Director General of Police, Bihar, Patna
3. The Principal Secretary, Finance Department, Government of Bihar, Patna
4. The Deputy Secretary, Finance Department, Government of Bihar, Patna
5. The Assistant Secretary, Finance Department, Government of Bihar, Patna

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Prafull Chandra Jha, Adv.
For the Respondent/s : Mr. Md. R. Haque, SC-10
Mr. Obudullah, AC to SC-10

=====

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SANJEEV PRAKASH
SHARMA**

ORAL ORDER

3 03-01-2023

1. Heard the parties.

2. The petitioner has prayed as under:-

(I) For directing the respondent authorities specially
the respondent no.2 and 3 to grant/pay Rs. 3000/- per month to
the petitioner as per the clause-3 of the notification dated
31.07.2008 contained in memo no. 6530 issued under the
signature of the respondent no.4 w.e.f. notification date dated
31.07.2008 to 31.01.2014 on the ground that the petitioner was
discharging his duty in the Criminal Investigation Department,
Bihar, Patna after getting in the rank of Inspector of police in
March, 2006.



(ii) For directing the respondent authorities to implement the notification (clause-3) issued on 31.07.2008 by the Finance Department, Bihar, Patna in the case of petitioner on the ground that the petitioner fulfills the criteria for the same.

3. In reply to the writ petition, the respondents have pointed out that benefit of Rs.3000/- in terms of the resolution is confined to the facility for the officers working in the pay scale of Rs.18400-22400/- and above, while the petitioner was holding the post of Inspector of police in the pay scale of Rs. 6500-10500/-. Thus, he was not entitled for the claim of Rs. 3000/- per month.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner has not challenged the said resolution.

5. Keeping in view thereto, the prayer made by the petitioner is found to be without basis.

6. The writ petition is accordingly dismissed.

(Sanjeev Prakash Sharma, J)

amit/-
Item no.36

U			
---	--	--	--

