

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.19742 of 2023

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-68 Year-2022 Thana- VIGILANCE District- Patna

=====

AJIT KUMAR @ AJIT KUMAR SINGH SON OF LATE BRIJAN SINGH
RESIDENT OF VILLAGE - RAJAPAKAR, P.S. - RAJAPAKAR, DISTT. -
VAISHALI.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. VIGILANCE INVESTIGATION BUREAU, PATNA BIHAR BIHAR

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Anuj Kumar

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Arvind Kumar Pandey

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SUNIL KUMAR PANWAR
ORAL ORDER

2 19-05-2023 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
APP for the State.

The petitioner has prayed for regular bail in a case
instituted for the offence under Section 7(a) of the Prevention of
Corruption Act.

As per prosecution case, the informant instituted a
complaint to the Vigilance alleging therein that he applied for
the electricity connection. The informant went to the Electricity
Supply Division, Muzaffarpur, where he met Executive
Assistant, namely Ajit Kumar @ Ajit Kumar Singh (petitioner)
and requested for electricity connection, who demanded Rs.
6,000/- and promised to provide the connection within a week.



Despite his repeated request, the petitioner refused to provide the electricity connection unless bride is paid. He verified and stated that the petitioner had in fact demanded money. A Pre-trap memorandum was made and raid was conducted. The petitioner was allegedly caught with Rs. 6,000/-.

It is submitted by learned counsel for the petitioner that petitioner has been falsely implicated in this case. He has committed no offence. The petitioner is a Data Entry Operator. He has no role to play in the allotment of electricity connection. He submitted that no work of complaint related to electricity line connection was pending with the petitioner and moreover, he has no role at any level of the process for granting the electricity connection. He further submitted that the one Shubham Kumar Jha, who is witness no. 2 in the post-trap memorandum was working as a franchisee for Gram Panchayat, who has conspired to implicate the petitioner. He has taken a loan from petitioner and on demand he created the story. Petitioner has got no criminal antecedent as stated in para-3 of the bail petition. He is languishing in judicial custody since 28.12.2022.

The application for bail is opposed by learned Special P.P. for the Vigilance and learned APP for the State.



Having heard learned counsel for the parties and considering the facts and circumstances of the case, this court is inclined to enlarge the petitioner on bail **after framing of charge**. The above named petitioner is directed to be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Rs. ten thousand only) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Special Judge Vigilance, Muzaffarpur in connection with Vigilance P.S. Case No. 68 of 2022.

(Sunil Kumar Panwar, J)

arish/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

