

Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.9399 of 2015

Parmanand Sharma son of Late Shyam Prasad Sharma resident of Bhatta Road, Bhikhanpur Gumti no. 12 P.O. Bhagalpur, P.S. Ishakchak , District and Town Bhagalpur Bihar 812001.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State Of Bihar and Ors
2. The Chief Secretary, Govt. of Bihar, Patna.
3. The Principal Secretary, Revenue and Land Reforms Department e, Govt. of Bihar, Patna.
4. The Deputy Secretary, Revenue and Land Reforms Department, Govt. of Bihar, Patna.
5. The Principal Secretary, General Administration Department , Govt. of Bihar, Patna.
6. The Principal Secretary, Chief Minister's Secretariat, Public Grievance Cell, Bihar, Patna.
7. The Principal Secretary, Chief Minister's Secretariat, Public Grievance Cell, Bihar, Patna.
8. The Director General, Vigilance Investigation Beaura, Bihar, 6- Circular Road, Patna.
9. The Superintendent of Police , Vigilance Investivation Beaur, Bihar, 6 Circular, Road, Patna.
10. The Commissioner, Bhagalpur Division, Bhagalpur.
11. The Commissioner, Bhagalpur Division, Bhagalpur.
12. The Additional Collector Departmetal Enguiry District Department Enquiry Section , Bhagalpur Colle
13. Sri Navin Bhushan , Anchal Adhikari Jagdishpur Block , P.S. Jagdishpur, District Bhagalpur.

... .. Respondent/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Dr. Manoj Kumar
For the Respondent/s : Mr.Ga12-Ajay

For the Respondent/s : Mr.Ga12-Ajay

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KUMAR SINHA
ORAL ORDER

5 23-01-2023 The petitioner has filed this writ application
contending therein that on the basis of the complaint made by
the petitioner, an inquiry was held and it was found that the



Respondent No. 13 was having a property disproportionate to his income.

On the other hand, learned counsel for the State submits that the petitioner had lodged a complaint before the Department of Vigilance, Government of Bihar and Vigilance has taken cognizance of it and started investigation.

In view of the statement made by the State and the fact that the complaint of the petitioner has been considered by the Vigilance Department, I do not find any reason to entertain the writ petition, particularly when the petitioner is not an aggrieved person.

With the above observations, this writ application is disposed.

(Anil Kumar Sinha, J)

ashwani/-

U			
---	--	--	--

