

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.16843 of 2023

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-914 Year-2022 Thana- SUPAUL District- Supaul

LALITA DEVI WIFE OF KAPILDEO RAM @ KAPAL RAM R/O
MUHALLA- GORAVGARH (WARD NO.4), P.S.- SUPAUL, DISTRICT-
SUPAUL

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Arun Kumar Jha, Adv.

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Narendra Kumar Singh, APP

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE CHANDRA PRAKASH
SINGH**

ORAL ORDER

2 11-05-2023 Learned counsel for the petitioner is permitted to
remove defect (s), as pointed out by the office, if any, within a
period of four weeks on resumption of physical mode.

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
A.P.P for the State.

The petitioner has preferred this application for grant
of regular bail in connection with Supaul P.S. Case No. 914 of
2022 dated 29.09.2022 registered for the offences punishable
under sections 302 and 120B read with section 34 of the Indian
Penal Code. Subsequently the charge-sheet has been submitted
under section 304B read with section 34 of the Indian Penal
code.

As per the prosecution case, the petitioner and the co-



accused persons are alleged to have killed the informant's daughter.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner is innocent and has falsely been implicated in this case. Learned counsel has submitted that initially, the case was lodged under sections 302, 120B read with section 34 of the Indian Penal code but the charge-sheet has been submitted against the petitioner and the other co-accused person under section 304B read with section 34 of the Indian Penal code. There is general and omnibus allegation against the petitioner. The petitioner is the mother-in-law of the deceased. The petitioner neither demanded dowry nor tortured the deceased. The petitioner has clean antecedent as stated in para 3 of the bail petition. The petitioner is in custody since 30.09.2022.

Learned A.P.P. for the State has vehemently opposed the bail petition of the petitioner.

Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case as well as the allegation being general and omnibus against the petitioner who is a lady, the petitioner above-named, is directed to be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail-bond of Rs.20,000/- (Rupees Twenty Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Court concerned,



Supaul in connection with Supaul P.S. Case No. 914 of 2022.

The application stands allowed.

(Chandra Prakash Singh, J)

guddukr/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

