

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.14205 of 2014

=====

Madhu Kumari D/o Sri Vishwanath Rai, resident of Mohalla Purandarpur,
P.O.P.S.- Jakkanpur, Distt. Patna and Permanent Address resident of village
Narhi P.O.P.S.- Narhi, distt. Ballia U.P.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. Magadh University through the Vice-Chancellor
2. The Vice-Chancellor, Magadh University, Bodh Gaya, Bihar
3. The Registrar, Magadh University, Bodh Gaya, Bihar
4. The Controller of Examination, Magadh University, Bodh Gaya, Bihar
5. The Principal Govt. Women's College Gardanibagh, Patna- 800002
6. The Principal, J.D. Women's College, Patna
7. The Controller of Examination, J.D. Women's College, Patna

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Dilip Kumar Roy
For the Respondent/s : Mr.Girijish Kumar

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE MOHIT KUMAR SHAH
ORAL ORDER

2 28-02-2023 The present writ petition has been filed
seeking the following relief:-

“1. That this is an application for
issuance of an appropriate writ or writs,
order or orders, direction or directions to
the Respondents Authorities to
compensate the damaged to the
petitioner by way of money or
employment for spoiling whole carrier of
the petitioner for not publishing the
result of B.A. Part-I (Pass Course)
Examination 1998 and when the
petitioner filed the writ application



C.W.J.C.No. 8797 of 2002 before this Hon'ble High Court for publication of the result of B.A. Part-I pass course and on 27.8.2002 the C.W.J.C. No. 8797 was disposed of by Hon'ble Mr. Justice Chandramauli Kumar Prasad with a direction to publish the result of the petitioner in accordance with law within six weeks from the date of receipt/production of the copy of the order but in spite of the said order on receipt of order. The result of the petitioner was not published and ultimately the petitioner has filed contempt petition Vide M.J.C.No. 1253 of 2003 and the said contempt petition was heard on 27.4.2005 in which the Respondents Authorities have tendered unqualified apology and assured to take action against the person found guilty in defying the compliance of the order of this Hon'ble Court and as such it is admitted facts and circumstances of the case that due to the sever negligence of the respondents, the whole carrier of the petitioner has suffered and spoiled and as such the petitioner is entitled to get heavy compensation by way of awarding heavy cost of the respondents



authorities.”

After some arguments, the learned counsel for the petitioner seeks not to press the present writ petition, however, seeks liberty on behalf of the petitioner to avail such other alternative remedies as are available under the law. Liberty, so sought, is granted.

The writ petition stands dismissed as not pressed.

(Mohit Kumar Shah, J)

Ajay/-

U			
---	--	--	--

