

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.6261 of 2023

=====

Vijay Prabhat S/o Ravikant Verma, Resident of Village - Laxmipur
Chandistham, Ward No. 3, P.S. Kumarkhand, District - Madhepura.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Chief Secretary, Government of Bihar, Patna, Bihar.
2. The Principal Secretary, Public Welfare Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
3. The Principal Secretary, Human Resource Development Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
4. The Principal Secretary, Education Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
5. The Principal Secretary, Health Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Diwakar, Advocate
For the Respondent/s : Mr. S.D. Yadav (AAG-9)

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE
and
HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE MADHURESH PRASAD
ORAL JUDGMENT

(Per: HONOURABLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE)

Date : 29-04-2023

1. The public interest litigation is filed for multifarious reliefs. The petitioner seeks that the State, through its concerned Departments make policies to stop migration of people from Bihar for work, education, health etc., and to ensure protection of the migrants from Bihar living at different parts of India and ensure engagement of the skilled and unskilled labour within the State of Bihar itself and also enable the people of Bihar to live with dignity



in the other States. We have to first notice that migration is at the option of the citizen and the allegation of migration being only by reason of lack of infrastructural facilities is not at all substantiated. The provision of work to all skilled and unskilled labour, though is an ideal situation, is a matter of policy which the State has to strive to reach after deciding upon the priorities, which a welfare State is bound to extend to the public. The further statement made by the ‘Biharis’ being subjected to discrimination all over India again, is a mere allegation without any substantiation.

2. We are of the opinion that there is absolutely no reason to entertain the writ petition and the same stands dismissed.

(K. Vinod Chandran, CJ)

(Madhuresh Prasad, J)

sharun/-

AFR/NAFR	NAFR
CAV DATE	N/A
Uploading Date	03.05.2023
Transmission Date	N/A

