

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.7697 of 2016

=====

Manna Devi W/o Late Vijendra Thakur, Resident of Village - Udakishunganj,
P.S. District - Madhepura.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. The Director General of Police, Bihar, Patna.
3. The Inspector General, Special Branch, Bihar, Patna.
4. The Deputy Inspector General, Special Branch, Bihar, Patna.
5. The Superintendent of Police B, Special Branch, Bihar, Patna.
6. The Deputy Superintendent of Police, Special Branch, Bihar, Patna.
7. The Superintendent of Police, Saharsa.
8. The Deputy Superintendent of Police, Special Branch, Saharsa.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Pramod Mishra, Advocate
For the Respondent/s : Mr.Anil Kr. Sinha, GA-9

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE MOHIT KUMAR SHAH
ORAL ORDER

2 13-10-2023 The present writ petition has been filed
seeking the following relief:-

*“1.That the petitioner above-named
craves for indulgence of this
Hon’ble Court by way of issuance of
any appropriate order/orders,
direction / directions writ / writs
commanding and directing the
Respondents concerned to pay the
widow petitioner due amount of
salary of her deceased husband for
the period between 01.05.1999 to*



28.02.2002, as the husband of the petitioner, who has been posted as constable/545 under the services of the Respondent Department at Special Branch, Saharsa, stood transferred vide an office order dated 01.05.99 but has never been relieved and subsequently the said transfer even stood cancelled and again he has been transferred to Special Branch, Patna where he joined on the vacant post of Havaldar on 29.07.2002, but despite the husband of the petitioner discharging his duties at Saharsa between 01.05.99 to 28.07.2002, he has not been paid his salary and in a very arbitrary and inhuman manner after the unfortunate death of the employee on 18.06.2005, the Respondent concerned vide office order No. 1411/2007 has adjusted the period as leave and allowed payment of only 260 days, which is very much illegal and unjustified. And/or any other relief or reliefs for which the petitioner is found to be entitled in the facts and circumstances of this



case.”

2. After some arguments, the learned counsel for the petitioner seeks not to press the present writ petition, however, seeks liberty on behalf of the petitioner to avail such other alternative remedies as are otherwise available under the law, in case of any subsisting grievances. Liberty, so sought, is granted.

3. The writ petition stands dismissed as not pressed.

(Mohit Kumar Shah, J)

S.Sb/-

U			
---	--	--	--

