

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CIVIL MISCELLANEOUS JURISDICTION No.921 of 2022

1. Krishna Singh Son of Late Baleshwar Singh Resident of Mouza-Chetan Chapra, Dr. Puchri, P.S.-Baniyapur, District-Saran.
2. Neha Kumari Daughter of Krishna Singh Resident of Mouza-Chetan Chapra, Dr. Puchri, P.S.-Baniyapur, District-Saran.
3. kriti Kumari Daughter of Krishna Singh Resident of Mouza-Chetan Chapra, Dr. Puchri, P.S.-Baniyapur, District-Saran.
4. Ratnesh Kumar Son of Krishna Singh Resident of Mouza-Chetan Chapra, Dr. Puchri, P.S.-Baniyapur, District-Saran.
5. Ramesh Kumar Singh Son of Late Munsu Singh Resident of Mouza-Lauwa Kala, P.S.-Baniyapur, District-Saran.
6. Ram Kumar Singh Son of Late Satyadeo Singh Resident of Mouza-Lauwa Kala, P.S.-Baniyapur, District-Saran.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. Narendra Singh Son of Rajdeo Singh Resident of Mouza-Lauwa Kala, P.S.-Baniyapur, District-Saran.
2. Jitendra Singh Son of Rajdeo Singh Resident of Mouza-Lauwa Kala, P.S.-Baniyapur, District-Saran.
3. Mukesh Singh Son of Raj Grihi Singh Resident of Mouza-Lauwa Kala, P.S.-Baniyapur, District-Saran.

... .. Respondent/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Ashok Kumar
For the Respondent/s : Mr.Dhananjay Kumar Tiwary

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SANDEEP KUMAR
ORAL ORDER

3 01-09-2023 Heard the parties.

2. The present application has been filed for setting aside the order dated 25.11.2022 passed in Title Suit No. 179 of 2022 whereby and whereunder the learned court below has rejected the petitions dated 20.10.2022 and 15.11.2022 filed by the defendants 1st and 2nd set petitioners u/o VII, Rule-11(d) read



with Order-XXII, Rule-3A of C.P.C. for rejection of the plaintiffs respondent's plaint being barred by law in T.S. No. 179/2022.

3. By the impugned order dated 25.11.2022 passed in Title Suit No. 179 of 2022 the Civil Judge, Junior Division, Saran, Chapra has rejected the applications dated 20.10.2022 and 15.11.2022 filed by the defendants 1st and 2nd set u/o VII, Rule-11(d) of C.P.C. for rejection of the plaint.

4. From the reading of the impugned order I see no reason to interfere and from reading of the plaint no case for rejection of the plaint is made out. The submissions of the learned counsel for the petitioners is beyond the plaint which cannot be accepted by this Court.

5. Therefore, this application is dismissed.

(Sandeep Kumar, J)

Ranjeet/-

U			
---	--	--	--

